MORPHINE CONTROLLED RELEASE SYSTEM

Field of the invention

The present invention relates to a novel pharmaceutical composition for controlled release

5   of an opioid like e.g. morphine into an aqueous medium. The pharmaceutical composition is a coated matrix composition in which the matrix composition comprises a) a polymer or a mixture of polymers, b) an opioid like e.g. morphine and, optionally, c) one or more pharmaceutically acceptable excipients. The coating remains intact during the release phase and may thereafter crumble and/or erode. Furthermore, the coating covers the

10   matrix composition in such a manner that only a specific surface area of the matrix composition is subject to erosion in an aqueous medium, i.e. the surface area from which the active substance is release is kept substantial constant during the time period.

The polymer mentioned under a) above may suitably be a substantially water soluble or

15   crystalline polymer or a mixture of substantially water soluble and/or crystalline polymers

The design of the pharmaceutical composition is based on the finding that it is possible to control the release from such a composition by ensuring that the release predominantly takes place by erosion. In order to ensure erosion based release a balance must be

20   obtained between the diffusion rate of water into the matrix composition and the dissolution rate of the matrix composition.

Accordingly, the invention relates to an opioid containing pharmaceutical composition for oral use, which provides zero order release based on controlling the balance between

25   matrix erosion rate and diffusion rate in the matrix.

The invention also provides various combinations of immediate release opioid compositions and controlled release opioid compositions.

30   **Disclosure of the invention**

The present invention relates to a controlled release composition comprising an opioid as a therapeutically, prophylactically and/or diagnostically active substance. The opioids are generally used in analgesic treatment and there is a need for developing compositions for oral use, which have a lower administration frequency. Thus once or twice daily

35   administrations would be preferred. A controlled release composition according to the invention aims at a zero order release of the opioid in a predetermined pattern to reduce and/or delay the peak plasma concentration without affecting the extent of drug

bioavailability. The frequency of undesirable side effects may be reduced and due to the delay in the time it may take to obtain the peak plasma concentration and the extension of the time at the therapeutically active plasma concentration, the frequency of the administration may be reduced to a dosage taken only twice or once daily. This also

5   serves to improve patient compliance. A further advantage of the controlled release composition is that high local concentrations of the opioid in the gastrointestinal tract are avoided due to the erosion-based release mechanism.

Patients suffering from chronic pains very often require a high daily dosage of an

10   analgesic. If such a high dosage of an opioid should be given only once or twice daily, the release from the composition must be safe. The composition should also be storage stable with respect to chemical and physical stability.

Morphine is probably one of the most commonly used analgesics in the control of severe

15   pain. Due to the short half-life of morphine of approximately 2 hours, the analgesic must be administered frequently, e.g. every 3-4 hours to maintain a patient pain free. This regime may require a 2 a.m. dose to prevent early morning recurrence of pain.

To obtain therapeutic plasma concentrations with less frequent dosing, effective controlled

20   release analgesics are under continuous development. An ideal controlled release analgesic should exhibit the following properties: prevent pain "break-through", eliminate major plasma concentration fluctuations, produce prolongation of effective drug levels, produce effective analgesia without unwanted side effects such as sedation.

25   In other words, in addition to a less frequent administration, the real challenge in controlled release delivery may be expressed by the goal of decreasing the incidence of adverse effects and at the same time increasing the effect of the treatment. This can only be obtained by an interaction between the specific pharmacological properties of the active ingredient and the composition.

30

Many controlled release products on the market suffers from the lack of a true controlled release. In fact, Dolcontin®, which covers most of the market for sustained morphine, results in a plasma profile rather similar with an immediate release dosage formulation only with a slight decrease in the initial burst. During the repeated administration, the

35   plasma concentration with this product will necessarily exhibit the undesired peaks and troughs as is also shown in Figure 18 comparing the commercial twice daily MS Contin with the commercial available Kadian multiple pellet formulation, both demomstrating a

fluctuation during the day where the minimum concentration is less than half of the maximal concentration for each of the two formulations. Such degree of fluctuation in concentration during the dosing interval may be avoided with the opioid composition according to the present invention.

Controlled release is not only the effect of prolonging the release of active ingredient from the composition by increasing the dosage and decreasing the initial burst. The optimised effect is only obtained when the right balance is obtained between the maximum concentration, the duration of the time where the plasma concentration is above the minimum therapeutic level and the administered dosage.

High concentrations or a fast rise in the concentration of morphine is one important factor resulting in side effects including the risk of getting addicted to morphine. The fear of addiction is often a major obstacle for initiation of the otherwise effective pain treatment with morphine both in the view of the clinical personnel as well as in the view of the patients themselves.

High concentrations during longer periods induce resistance on receptor level and should also be avoided. By "high" is here meant any plasma concentration above the pain relieving level. Other important side effects of morphine are the respiratory depressing effect and sedation; both are highly correlated to the plasma concentration. In addition, memory and motor control, important aspects in relation with long term treatment, may already be present within the therapeutic level and accordingly, any unnecessary high concentration of morphine should be avoided.

According to the present invention it is possible to obtain a composition, which is effective in treating pain and at the same time have one or more of the following advantages: a relative low maximal serum concentration of the opioid and pain relieving effect, relative decreased average serum concentrations but still pain relieving effect, minimal concentrations of opioid but still pain relieving effect. Each of these factors may be associated with a minor risk of side effects. This conclusion is to be supported by less frequently reported side effects by the patients receiving such a composition in a clinical study comparing controlled release compositions having a non-zero order dissolution profile. Adverse events to be reported in such study include sedation, nausea, dizziness, vertigo, obstipation, urine retention, itching, perspiration, and dry mouth. It is generally acknowledged that the most of the broad variety of adverse effects of morphine correlate with the dosage.

Accordingly, a limitation of the maximal concentration as well as the duration of a sufficient concentration is of great benefit for every patient. On the other hand, in chronic pain treatment with morphine, too low concentrations will immediately result in the need

5   for additional rescue medication leading to an increase in the overall dosage, and in the end, to a higher incident of dose dependent side effects. Also in this respect, a formulation according to the present invention is expected to result in the decrease in maximal concentration without a decrease in pain relieving effect. If the controlled release composition is not optimal, the final treatment regimen for the patient including the rescue

10 medication may resemble an ordinary treatment and only few benefits are obtained.

With respect to safety and side effects, many controlled release formulations are only compared with immediate release formulations. The formulation according to the present invention addresses all the problems of a sustained release formulation by releasing a

15 steady and accurately predictable flow of the opioid as clearly supported by the dissolution profiles shown herein.

The term "opioid" as used herein denotes a group of active substances that are, to a varying degree, opium- or morphine-like in their properties. The term includes natural and

20 synthetic opioids as well as active metabolites such as, e.g. morphine 6- glucuronide, morphine 3-glucuronide, and mixtures thereof. Pharmaceutically acceptable salts, complexes, solvates and anhydrates thereof, and mixtures thereof are also within the definition of opioids.

25 In those cases, where the active substance is dispersed in the matrix, it is present in any of its crystalline, polymorphous or amorphous forms or mixtures thereof.

In specific embodiments, the active substance may at least partially be present in solid form in the dispersion, i.e. some of the active substance may be dissolved in the polymer

30 (such as, e.g., polyethylene oxide) provided that at least a part is still present on solid form.

In the pharmaceutical technology (and in the present context), the term "solid dispersion" also embraces semi-solid dispersions. By the term is understood the finely dispersed

35 distribution of one or more solids, e.g. an active substance like morphine, in an inert solid or semi-solid carrier. The active substance may be present in molecular dispersed form, i.e. as a solid solution, in fine crystalline dispersed form, in a glassy amorphous phase or

dispersed as a fine amorphous powder. Eutectic mixtures, i.e. crystalline structures of active substances and carriers are also encompassed in the definition of "solid dispersions". Normally, the mean particle size is used to classify dispersed system. A colloidal dispersion is when the dispersed phase has a particle size between about 1 and

5   about 1000 nm and a coarsely dispersion has a mean particle size of at least about 1000 nm and a molecular dispersion has a particle size below about 1 nm. Combinations between the various states are very likely and the most dominating character can be determined by X-ray diffraction spectra or differential thermoanalysis.

10   In specific aspects of the present invention some of the active substance may be present in a molecular dispersion such as, e.g., in the form of a solid or semi-solid solution.

In a preferred aspect of the invention, a composition comprises morphine that at least partially is present in amorphous form with a mean particle size of at least about 0.01 μm

15   such as, e.g., from about 0.01 μm to about 500 μm, from about 0.05 μm to about 500 μm, from about 0.1 μm to about 500 μm, from about 0.5 μm to about 500 μm, about 1 μm to about 500 μm, typically from about 0.5 μm to about 300 μm, more typically from about 1 μm to about 200 μm, especially from about 1 μm to about 100 μm.

20   In general, the opioids are readily absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract after oral administration. Many opioids like e.g. morphine are subject to a first-pass metabolism in the liver. The half-life of morphine is about 1.5 to 2 hours, but morphine is metabolised to active metabolites, which have a longer half-life. The average duration of action of a single dose of 10 mg of e.g. oral morphine is about 4-5 hours (given as a plain tablet

25   composition).

The dosage of the opioid(s) contained in a controlled release composition according to the invention depends on the particular opioid in question. With respect to morphine an amount corresponding to from about 5 to about 800 mg of morphine sulfate is suitable.

30   Alternatively, the dosage form (i.e. the composition) may contain a molar equivalent amount of another morphine salt (i.e. the hydrochloride etc.).

Examples of opioids suitable for use in a composition according to the present invention are:

35

Alfentanil, allylprodine, alphaprodine, aniloridine, benzylmorphine, bezitramide, buprenorphine, butophanol, clonitazene, codeine, cyclazocine, desomorphine,

dextromoramide, dezocine, diapromide, dihydrocodeine, dihydromorphine, dimenoxadol, dimephetanol, dimethylthiambutene, dioxaphetyl butyrate, dipipanone, eptazocine, ethoheptazine, ethylmethylthiambutene, ethylmorphine, etonitazene, fentanyl, heroin, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, hydroxypethidine, isomethadone, dextropropoxyphene,

5   ketobemidone, levallorphan, levorphanol, levophenacylmorphan, lofentanil, meperidine, meptazinol, metazocine, methadone, metopon, morphine, morphine 6- glucuronide, morphine 3-glucuronide, myrophine, nalbuphine, narccine, nicomorphine, norlevorphanol, normethadone, nalorphine, normorphine, norpipanone, opium, oxycodone, oxymorphone, papaveretum, pentazocine, phenadoxone, phenomorphan, phenazocine, phenoperidine,

10   piminodine, piritramide, propheptazine, promedol, properidine, propiram, propoxyphene, sufentanil, tilidine, tramadol, and pharmaceutically acceptable salts, complexes, solvates or anhydrates thereof, and mixtures thereof.

Preferred embodiments of the invention are compositions containing an opioid selected

15   from the group consisting of morphine oxycodone, oxymorphone, hydrocodone, hydromorphone and dihydromorphine.

The term "pharmaceutically acceptable salts" of an opiod includes alkali metal salts such as, e.g., sodium or potassium salts, alkaline earth metal salts such as, e.g., calcium and

20   magnesium salts, and salts with organic or inorganic acid like e.g. hydrochloric acid, hydrobromic acid, nitric acid, sulfuric acid, phosphoric acid, citric acid, formic acid, maleic acid, succinic acid, tartaric acid, methansulphonic acid, toluenesulphonic acid etc.

The term "solvates" includes hydrates or solvates wherein other solvates than water are

25   involved such as, e.g., organic solvents like chloroform and the like.

Furthermore, the opioid such as morphine may be in any of its crystalline, polymorphous or amorphous forms.

30   The present inventors have applied a novel method for controlling the release of an active substance from a pharmaceutical composition. The method involves controlling the release of at least one therapeutically, prophylactically and/or diagnostically active substance into an aqueous medium by erosion of at least one surface of a pharmaceutical composition comprising

35

i) a matrix composition comprising a) polymer or a mixture of polymers, b) an active substance and, optionally, c) one or more pharmaceutically acceptable excipients, and

ii) a coating having at least one opening exposing at the one surface of said matrix, the coating comprising

5   a) a first cellulose derivative which has thermoplastic properties and which is substantially insoluble in the aqueous medium in which the composition is to be used,

and at least one of

b) a second cellulose derivative which is soluble or dispersible in water,

10   c) a plasticizer, and

d) a filler,

the method comprising adjusting the concentration and/or the nature of the ingredients making up the matrix composition in such a manner that the diffusion rate of the aqueous

15   medium into the matrix composition corresponds to about 100% ± 30% such as, e.g. about 100% ± 25%, about 100% ± 20%, about 100% ± 15% or about 100% ± 10% or about 100% of the dissolution rate of the matrix composition so as to obtain a zero order release of at least about 60% w/w such as, e.g. at least about 65% w/w at least about 70% w/w, at least about 75% w/w, at least about 80% w/w, at least about 85% w/w, at

20   least about 90% w/w, at least about 95% w/w or at least about 97or 98% w/w of the active substance from the pharmaceutical composition when subject to an in vitro dissolution test as described herein.

Accordingly, the present invention relates to a pharmaceutical composition for controlled

25   release of at least one opioid into an aqueous medium by erosion of at least one surface of the composition, the composition comprising

i)   a matrix composition comprising a) a polymer or a mixture of polymers, b) an active substance and, optionally, c) one or more pharmaceutically

30   acceptable excipients, and

ii)   a coating having at least one opening exposing at the one surface of said matrix, the coating comprising

35   a) a first cellulose derivative which has thermoplastic properties and which is substantially insoluble in the aqueous medium in which the composition is to be used,

8

and at least one of

      b) a second cellulose derivative which is soluble or dispersible in water,

      c) a plasticizer, and

      d) a filler,

wherein the matrix composition has a conus-like shape so that the surface area exposed to the aqueous medium increases at least during initial erosion of the matrix composition, and

the dissolution of the opioid - when tested in a Dissolution Test as described herein with with or without application of sinkers - results in a zero order release of at least 80% of the opioid contained in the composition.

Due to the shape and the release mechanism, a composition according to the invention has suitable therapeutic properties in the treatment of diseases or conditions, wherein an opioid is prescribed (cf. the Examples herein relating to clinical studies)

Details concerning the method and the pharmaceutical compositions are described herein.

In a specific embodiment of the invention the polymer mentioned under a) is a substantially water soluble or crystalline polymer or a mixture of substantially water soluble and/or crystalline polymers.

Controlled release

During the last decades many different systems for modifying the release of an active drug substance from a pharmaceutical composition have been developed. Most of them aim at obtaining a zero or a first order release rate of the active substance from the composition. Zero order release rate (i.e. constant release of the active substance with time) seems to be very difficult to obtain from a pharmaceutical composition. The present invention is based on a polymeric matrix composition, which is construed to deliver the active substance in a zero order release manner. The present invention is a further development based on the Applicant's previously described drug delivery systems, see e.g. EP-B-0 406 315, EP-B-0 493 513, EP-B-0 740 310 and WO 99/51208 the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference.

In particular, it has surprisingly been found that it is possible to obtain zero order release from a polymeric matrix composition without any content of a water dispersible or water soluble surface active agent or a mixture of such surface active agents which has at least one domain which is compatible with the polymer in the polymer matrix composition and

5   at least one other domain which is substantially lipophilic and which has a melting point that is lower than the polymer used in the polymeric matrix composition. The presence of such a substance (e.g. like PEG 400 monostearate or PEG 2000 monostearate) has been contemplated to function as a so-called repair medium. Such a repair medium has a substantially hydrophilic domain, which gives it affinity to the (crystalline) polymeric phase,

10 thereby filling in domains between grains and cracks in the polymer matrix and reducing the water affinity of these domains and in the polymer matrix itself. Water diffusion in the interface between the polymer crystals is thereby substantially eliminated, thus substantially limiting diffusion of water into the composition to the surface layer of the matrix, so that erosion of the composition is predominantly effected by the dissolving

15 action of the aqueous phase on a surface or surfaces of the composition exposed to the aqueous medium. In other words a repair medium seems to prevent the diffusion of water in the polymer matrix composition.

However, in certain cases, the present inventors have observed that inclusion of a water

20 soluble surface active agent may have a negative impact on the mobility and/or stability of a composition. However, it seems as if the problems arise when the concentration of the surface active agent is relatively high and/or when the surface active agent has a HLB value of less than 16.

25 The present inventors have found that it is possible to obtain a zero order release from a polymer matrix composition although water may be able to diffuse into the matrix. When water diffuse into the polymer matrix composition a resulting boundary layer (or swelling layer) can be formed at the surface of the matrix composition, which is exposed to the aqueous medium. In general the diffusion of an active substance through such a boundary

30 layer is important for the release of an active substance and, accordingly, the thickness of the boundary layer is important for the release rate. However, the present inventors have found that it is possible to eliminate or substantially eliminate the impact of the boundary layer on the release rate of the active substance from a polymer matrix composition by ensuring that the thickness of the boundary layer is relatively small and/or that the release

35 of the active substance from a polymer matrix composition is governed by erosion of the composition and the diffusion of the active substance through the boundary layer, if any, has no or only a small impact on the overall release rate.

The present inventors have found that when water is allowed to diffuse into a polymer matrix composition zero order release is obtained when the release rate is governed or controlled by erosion of a constant surface area per time unit. In order to ensure that the

5   erosion of the polymer matrix composition is the predominant release mechanism, the inventors have found that it is necessary to provide a polymer matrix composition which has properties that ensures that the diffusion rate of water into the polymer matrix composition substantially corresponds to the dissolution rate of the polymer matrix composition into the aqueous medium. Thus, by adjusting the nature and amount of

10   constituents contained in the polymer matrix composition along this line the present inventors have obtained polymer matrix compositions, which release the active substance by a zero order release mechanism. The compositions employed are coated in such a manner that at least one surface is exposed to the aqueous medium and this surface has a substantially constant or controlled surface area during erosion. In the present context

15   controlled surface area relates to a predetermined surface area typically predicted from the shape of the coat of the unit dosage system. It may have a simple uniform cylindrical shape or the cylindrical form can have one or more tapered ends in order to decrease (or increase) the initial release period.

20   As it appears from the above, the invention relates specifically to pharmaceutical compositions comprising an opioid as an active substance and wherein the above-mentioned principle has been applied.

Besides providing a pharmaceutical composition, the present invention also employs a

25   method for controlling the release of at least one opioid into an aqueous medium by erosion of at least one surface of a pharmaceutical composition comprising

i) a matrix composition comprising a) polymer or a mixture of polymers, b) an opioid and, optionally, c) one or more pharmaceutically acceptable excipients, and

30
ii) a coating having at least one opening exposing at the one surface of said matrix, the coating comprising

a) a first cellulose derivative which has thermoplastic properties and which is substantially insoluble in the aqueous medium in which the composition is to

35   be used,
and at least one of
b) a second cellulose derivative which is soluble or dispersible in water,

c) a plasticizer, and

d) a filler,

the method comprising adjusting the concentration and/or the nature of the ingredients making up the matrix composition in such a manner that the diffusion rate of the aqueous medium into the matrix composition corresponds to about 100% ± 30% such as, e.g. about 100% ± 25%, about 100% ± 20%, about 100% ± 15% or about 100% ± 10% or about 100% of the dissolution rate of the matrix composition so as to obtain a zero order release of at least about 60% w/w such as, e.g. at least about 65% w/w at least about 70% w/w, at least about 75% w/w, at least about 80% w/w, at least about 85% w/w, at least about 90% w/w, at least about 95% w/w or at least about 97or 98% w/w of the active substance from the pharmaceutical composition when subject to an in vitro dissolution test as described herein.

As mentioned above, in a specific embodiment of the invention, the polymer a) is a substantially water soluble or crystalline polymer or a mixture of substantially water soluble and/or crystalline polymers.

By use of such a method it is possible already during the developmental work to test various polymer matrix compositions with respect to diffusion rate of water into the composition and to dissolution rate of the polymer matrix composition in an aqueous medium. Based on such results adjustment of e.g. the concentration and/or nature of the individual constituents in the composition may be performed until the diffusion rate balance the dissolution rate. In such a manner, a relatively simple instrument has been provided in order to ensure a zero order release rate from the final composition.

In another aspect, the invention relates to a pharmaceutical composition for controlled release of at least one opioid into an aqueous medium by erosion of at least one surface of the composition, the composition comprising

i) a matrix composition comprising a) a polymer or polymers, b) an opioid and, optionally, c) one or more pharmaceutically acceptable excipients, and

ii) a coating having at least one opening exposing at the one surface of said matrix, the coating comprising

a) a first cellulose derivative which has thermoplastic properties and which is substantially insoluble in the aqueous medium in which the composition is to be used,

and at least one of

b) a second cellulose derivative which is soluble or dispersible in water,

c) a plasticizer, and

d) a filler,

wherein the matrix composition has a conus-like shape so that the surface area exposed to the aqueous medium increases at least during initial erosion of the matrix composition, and

the dissolution of the opioid - when tested in a Dissolution Test as described herein with or without application of sinkers - results in a zero order release of at least 80% of the opioid contained in the composition

In the above-mentioned composition the concentration and/or the nature of the ingredients making up the matrix composition have been adjusted in such a manner that the diffusion rate of the aqueous medium into the matrix composition corresponds to about 100% ± 30% such as, e.g. about 100% ± 25%, about 100% ± 20%, about 100% ± 15% or about 100% ± 10% or 100% of the dissolution rate of the matrix composition so as to obtain a zero order release of at least about 60% w/w such as, e.g. at least about 65% w/w at least about 70% w/w, at least about 75% w/w, at least about 80% w/w, at least about 85% w/w, at least about 90% w/w, at least about 95% w/w or at least about 97or 98% w/w of the active substance from the pharmaceutical composition when subject to an in vitro dissolution test as described herein.

Shape

The geometric form of the composition is very important for the obtainment of the above-mentioned controlled zero order. Thus, in one embodiment of the invention, the pharmaceutical composition has a geometric shape, which enables a substantially constant surface area to become exposed during erosion of the matrix.

However, in order to achieve a higher plasma concentration 5-10 hours after administration the clinical studies reported herein have demonstrated that a shape is suitable that exposes an increasing surface area at least during the initial erosion of the matrix such as e.g. during the 0.5 hour, during the first hour, during the first 2 hours, during the first 3 hours, during the first 5 hours or during the first 6 hours and then, if

desired, exposes a constant surface area. Examples of such shapes are given in Fig. 1B and are herein denoted "conus shape".

5   In an specific embodiment, the increase in surface area relates to an increase in diameter of the surface area of at least one exposed surface area upon erosion of that surface, and the ratio between the largest and smallest diameter is decreasing from about 2.5 to 1 during the erosion, such as from about 2 to 1, such as from as from about 1.8 to 1, such as from about 1.6 to 1, such as from as from about 1.5 to 1, such as from about 1.4 to 1 such as from about 1.3 to 1, such as from about 1.2 to 1.

10

It is clear that the time during the erosion where the ratios between the largest and smallest diameter are decreasing is dependent on the length of the conus in the maxtrix composition. For a shorter duration of decreased release, the conus may be present in 5% to 25% of the total length of the matrix composition and for a longer decrease in 30%

15   to 50% of the total length when a constant increase in release is desired during the full release period. In some cases, the conus end of the matrix composition may erode at at slightly slower rate due to less erosion forces present within the narrower opening of the coat at the end of the composition comprising the smallest exposed surface. In other words, the cone need not be of a 50% length in order to obtain a constant increasing

20   release during the total release period.

Another embodiment of the invention is where the ratio between the areas of the two exposed surfaces is substantial constant during erosion, in other words, where a conus shape is present in both ends of the matrix composition. In such cases the increase in

25   surface area during erosion may be 50%, such as 100%, such as 150%, such as 200%, such as 250%, e.g. 300% or as much as 400% compared to the exposed surface area present before erosion of said surfaces.

Without limiting the invention hereto, specific examples of compositions with different

30   shapes and sizes are shown in the tables below. Table A demonstrates the specific dimensions of compositions according to the invention, wherein one end of the tubular shape has a cone configuration and dimensions according to Figure 1B and as follows:

Table A (confer Figure 1B)

D mm	B mm	A mm	C mm	Volume mm^2	Cone Shape

D 9.00	B 4.89	A 3.00	C 3.00	150.00	1
D 10.00	B 4.63	A 3.00	C 3.50	150.00	2
D 10.00	B 4.63	A 3.50	C 4.85	150.00	3
D 11.00	B 4.29	A 3.50	C 3.50	150.00	4
D 9.00	B 4.81	A 3.50	C 3.00	150.00	5

Other specific shapes in accordance with Fig 1 B are:

Batch	Length [mm]	Diameter [mm]	Vol [mm^3]
01-0034-042	7,5	5,05	150
01-0035-042	6,0	5,64	150
01-0043-042	9,0	4,6	150

5   The following table describes compositions having a cylindrical form and oval openings in
    both ends

Batch	Length [mm]	Vol [mm^3]	Largest/shortest diameter [mm]	
01-0075-042	6.0	150	8.74	3.64
01-0076-042	7.5	150	7.82	3.21

The coated compositions obtained were open at two opposite ends.

10 The area for an open end is calculated as the volume/length of the cylindrical
    formulations.

As demonstrated in the Examples herein, the present inventors have found that – by using
the technology described herein - it is possible to achieve a zero order release during

15 release of at least 80% of the opioid present in the composition even if the surface area is
    not constant during the whole release period.

The combination of a specific shape and the zero order release for most of the opioid
contained in the composition is especially suitable in those situations where it is desired to

20 delay the appearance of the peak concentration of opioid after oral administration and at
    the same time it is desired to obtain a prolonged therapeutic effect.

In vivo behaviour

The present inventors have performed a number of clinical studies with compositions

25 containing morphine (as an example of an opioid) in order to demonstrate the usefulness
    of the present technology. From these examples it appears that the combination of the
    two parameters mentioned above, namely the shape of the composition and the zero

order release rate during at least most of the release period is essential for obtaining the desired delay in peak concentration and a prolonged effect.

As demonstrated herein, specific embodiments of the invention are compositions wherein
5   the plasma concentration after oral administration is maintained at a therapeutically effective level for a prolonged time period and at the same time the peak concentration is decreased (compared to e.g. a plain tablet composition) in order to avoid side effects that are related to high plasma concentrations. Accordingly, specific compositions according to the invention are compositions

10
i) wherein the mean plasma concentration 8 hours after oral administration to at least 6 healthy adult humans is at least 40% of the mean maximal concentration obtained by the dose, such as, e.g., at least 50% or at least 60% of the mean maximal concentration, ˙

15   ii) wherein the mean plasma concentration 10 hours after oral administration to at least 6 healthy adult humans is at least 35% of the mean maximal concentration obtained by the dose, such as, e.g., at least 40% or at least 50% of the mean maximal concentration, and/or

20   iii) wherein the mean plasma concentration 12 hours after oral administration to at least 6 healthy adult humans is at least 25% of the mean maximal concentration obtained by the dose, such as, e.g., at least 30%, at least 35% at least 40% or at least about 45% of the mean maximal concentration.

25   A pharmaceutical composition according to the invention is intended administration once or twice daily. In a specific embodiment, the composition is intended for administration once daily. ˙

Further embodiments of the invention includes pharmaceutical compositions,

30
i) wherein the mean plasma concentration after oral administration of a single dose to at least 6 healthy adult humans is at least 33% of the mean maximal concentration for at least 15 hours such as, e.g., for at least 17 hours, for at least 19 hours or for at least 20 hours.

35
ii) wherein the mean plasma concentration after oral administration of a single dose to at least 6 healthy adult humans is at least 50% of the mean maximal concentration for at

least 6 hours such as, e.g., for at least 8 hours, for at least 9 hours, for at least 10 hours or for at least 11 hours,

iii) wherein the mean plasma concentration after oral administration of a single dose to at

5   least 6 healthy adult humans is at least 75% of the mean maximal concentration for at least 3 hours such as, e.g., for at least 3.3 hours, for at least 3.5 hours, for at least 3.7 hours or for at least 3.9 hours, and/or

iv) wherein the mean plasma concentration 12 hours after oral administration of a single

10   dose is at least 20% such as, e.g., at least 25% or at least 30% of the mean maximal concentration, and/or the mean plasma concentration 18 hours after oral administration is at least 20% such as, e.g., at least 25%, at least 30% or at least 35% of the mean maximal concentration, and/or the mean plasma concentration 24 hours after oral administration is at least 20% such as, e.g., at least 25% or at least about 30% of the

15   mean maximal concentration.

In vitro behaviour

An important method for testing whether a pharmaceutical composition releases the opioid by a zero order release mechanism is by subjecting the composition to a

20   dissolution test e.g. in accordance with pharmacopoeia standards. The present inventors have found that release patterns as those described below are suitable in order to obtain the desired therapeutic effect.

In specific aspects, the invention provides a composition

25

i) wherein about 50% w/w of the opioid is released from the composition within 3-5 hours as measured by the dissolution test described herein,

ii) wherein about 75% w/w of the opioid is released from the composition within 4-10 hours

30   as measured by the dissolution test described herein,

iii) wherein the composition – when tested in an *in vitro* dissolution system according to USP (paddle, 50 rpm, buffer pH 6.8 without sinkers) – releases at least about 80% w/w of the total amount of the opioid in a time period of from about 5 to about 10 hours such as,

35   e.g., from about 6 to about 9 hours such as e.g from about 7 to 8 hours or about 7.5 hours after start of the test,

iv) wherein the composition – when tested in an *in vitro* dissolution system according to USP (paddle, 50 rpm, buffer pH 6.8 with sinkers) – releases at least about 80% w/w of the total amount of the opioid in a time period of from about 4 to about 9 hours such as, e.g., from about 5 to about 8 hours such as e.g from about 6 to 7 hours or about 6 hours after

5   start of the test,

v) wherein the composition – when tested in an *in vitro* dissolution system according to USP (paddle, 50 rpm, buffer pH 6.8) – releases the opioid so that when 30% of the time to release at least about 80% w/w of the total amount of the opioid is reached - then from

10   about 10% to about 50% such as, e.g., from about 15% to about 40% w/w, from about 20% to about 30% or about 23-27% w/w is released,

vi) wherein the composition – when tested in an *in vitro* dissolution system according to USP (paddle, 50 rpm, buffer pH 6.8) – releases the opioid so that when 50% of the time to

15   release at least about 80% w/w of the total amount of the opioid is reached - then from about 20% to about 60% w/w such as, e.g., from about 30% to about 50% w/w or about 42-47% w/w is released,

vii) wherein the composition – when tested in an *in vitro* dissolution system according to

20   USP (paddle, 50 rpm, buffer pH 6.8) – releases the opioid so that when 60% of the time to release at least about 80% w/w of the total amount of the opioid is reached - then from about 30% to about 80% w/w such as, e.g., from about 40% to about 70% w/w, from about 50 to about 60% or about 52-58% w/w is released, and/or

25   viii) wherein the composition – when tested in an *in vitro* dissolution system according to USP (paddle, 50 rpm, buffer pH 6.8) – releases the opioid in the following manner:

       within the first 2 hours after start of the test from about 0 to about 30% w/w of the opioid is released,

30

       within the first 5 hours after start of the test from about 25% to about 80% w/w of the opioid is released,

       within the first 7 hours after start of the test from about 40% to about 100% w/w of the

35   opioid is released.

In an especially interesting embodiment a composition according to the invention has a dissolution pattern that resembles that of Figure 21 herein, the composition being tested under similar conditions.

5   In another embodiment, a composition according to the present invention is a composition, wherein the release rate measured from the release curve as the time where from 0% to 40% is released is the same or slower as the rate measured for the release from 40% to 80% of the total release.

10   As mentioned before, in a specific embodiment, the polymer a) is a substantially water soluble or crystalline polymer or a mixture of substantially water soluble and/or crystalline polymers.

Matrix composition

15   The pharmaceutical composition according to the invention comprises a matrix composition comprising

   a)   a polymer or a mixture of polymers,

   b)   an opioid and, optionally,

   c)   one or more pharmaceutically acceptable excipients.

20

In a specific embodiment, the polymer is a substantially water soluble or crystalline polymer or a mixture of substantially water soluble and/or crystalline polymers.

Polymers

25   Suitable polymers for use according to the invention typically comprises a polyglycol, e.g. in the form of a homopolymer and/or a copolymer. In a specific embodiment the polymer is substantially water soluble or crystalline polymer or a mixture of substantially water soluble and/or crystalline polymers. Suitable polymers for use in a composition according to the invention are polyethylene oxides and/or block copolymers of ethylene oxide and

30   propylene oxide. Polyethylene oxides which are suitable for use in the matrix composition are those having a molecular weight of from about 20,000 daltons, such as, e.g., from about 20,000 to about 700,000 daltons, from about 20,000 to about 600,000 daltons, from about 35,000 to about 500,000 daltons, from about 35,000 to about 400,000 daltons, from about 35,000 to about 300,000 daltons, from about 50,000 to about 300,000 daltons, such

35   as, e.g. about 35,000 daltons, about 50,000 daltons, about 75,000 daltons, about 100,000 daltons, about 150,000 daltons, about 200,000 daltons, about 250,000 daltons, about 300,000 daltons or about 400,000 daltons.

A particular suitable polyethylene oxide is one, which in itself has a suitable balance between the diffusion rate of water into the polymer and a dissolution rate of the polymer. Suitable examples are polyethylene oxides having a molecular weight of about 35,000

5 daltons, about 50,000 daltons, about 100,000 daltons, about 200,000 daltons, about 300,000 daltons and about 400,000 daltons.

Poloxamers are copolymers or block copolymers and are a range of non-ionic surfactants of ethylene oxide (EO) and propylene oxide (PO). The composition can be a PO block

10 flanked by polyethylene oxide chain, generating two primary functional hydroxyls or a reversed structure, where a central EO block is sandwiched between a polypropylene glycol group, resulting in an overtone of secondary hydroxyl end groups.

In chemical abstracts Diol EO/PO block copolymers are described under the scientific

15 name –hydroxy-hydroxypoly(oxyethylene)poly(oxypropylene)-poly(oxyethylene)-block copolymer in combination with the CAS register number.

Examples of specific block-copolymers suitable for use in a composition of the invention are:

20
Poloxamer 101, Poloxamer 105, Poloxamer 108, Poloxamer 123, Poloxamer 124, Poloxamer 181, Poloxamer 182, Poloxamer 184, Poloxamer 185, Poloxamer 188, Poloxamer 217, Poloxamer 231, Poloxamer 234, Poloxamer 235,Poloxamer 237, Poloxamer 238, Poloxamer 282, Poloxamer 284, Poloxamer 288, Poloxamer 331,

25 Poloxamer 333, Poloxamer 334, Poloxamer 335, Poloxamer 338, Poloxamer 401, Poloxamer 402, Poloxamer 403, Poloxamer 407.

Poloxamers are sold under the trademark Pluronic® or Lutrol®.

30 In specific embodiments a suitable poloxamer for use in a composition of the invention has a HLB value of at least about 18 such as, e.g., at least about 20. The mean molecular weight of a suitable poloxamer is typically at least about 2,000. The concentration of the poloxamer in the composition may typically be from about 0% to about 95% w/w such as, e.g., from about 10% to about 90% w/w, from about 10% to about 80% w/w, from about

35 10% to about 70% w/w, from about 10% to about 60%, from about 10% to about 50%, from about 15% to about 50% w/w, from about 15% to about 45% w/w, from about 15% to

about 40% w/w, from about 20% to about 40% w/w, from about 20% to about 35% w/w or from about 20% to about 30% w/w.

5   Typical block copolymers of ethylene oxide and propylene oxide have a molecular weight of from about 2,000 daltons, typically about 3,000 to about 30,000 daltons such as, e.g. from about 4,000 to about 15,000 daltons.

Polyethylene glycols (which when the molecular weight is above about 20,000 is denoted polyethylene oxides) are mixtures of condensation polymers of ethylene glycol.

10

Mixtures of PEO with different average molecular weights can be used in order to obtain a PEO with a desirable average molecular weight. It is important to note that in such cases it is necessary to use the PEO, which have MW closest to the desired molecular weight. The individual amount of the two PEO necessary to obtain a PEO with a desired MW can

15   be calculated from the hydroxyl number and the equation given above.

The polymer may have a melting point, which is above the body temperature of the human or animal in which the composition is to be used. Thus, the polymer(s) employed in the matrix composition will suitably have a melting point of about 20-120°C such as,

20   e.g. from about 30 to about 100°C or from about 40 to about 80°C.

Alternatively to a polymer of a polyglycol type as described above other polymers may be suitable for use in the matrix composition a). Thus, in other embodiments of the invention, the polymer is selected from one or more of the following polymers: water soluble natural

25   polymers such as glucomannan, galactan, glucan, polygalacturonic acid, polyxylane, polygalactomannans, rhanogalacturonan, polyxyloglycan, arabinogalactan, and starch; water soluble polymers such as PVA, PVB, PVP, methocel, Eudragit L methyl ester and PHPV; biodegradable polymers such as PHA, and PLA; hydrogels, such as olyacrylic amid, and dextran; copolymers such as polylactic acid with polyglycolic acid; and others

30   such as alginate and pectins including low methylated or methoxylated pectins.

The concentration of the polymers in the composition is typically from about 5 to about 99.9% w/w such as from about 10 to about 95% w/w, from about 15% to about 90% w/w, such as from 20 to 85%, such as from 30% to 85% from about 30 to about 99% w/w such

35   as, e.g., from about 35 to about 95% w/w, from about 35 to about 90% w/w, from about 35 to about 85% w/w, from about 35 to about 80% w/w, from about 40 to about 75% w/w,

from about 45 to about 70% w/w, from about 45 to about 65% w/w. from about 55 to about 85% w/w or from about 60 to about 85% w/w.

5   The one or more polymer are typically present in a composition of the invention in a concentration amount of from 5 to 99.9% such as from 10 to 95% such as from 15% to 90%, such as from 20 to 85%, such as from 30% to 85% calculated as w/w % of the matrix composition

Opioids

10   A composition according to the invention contains one or more opioids in the matrix. In the present context, the term "opioid" includes substances that specifically interact with opioid receptors as well as substances that have opioid-like effects. Examples of opioids relevant in the present context are given herein before. As it appears from the examples herein, a specific opioid of interest is morphine.

15

A pharmaceutical composition of the invention is designed to release the active substance in a controlled manner such as by a zero order release mechanism. Accordingly, the composition is also suitable for a controlled release of an active substance. In the present context the tern "controlled release" is used to designate a release a desired rate during a

20   predetermined release period. Terms like "modified", "delayed", "sustained", "prolonged", "extended" etc. release are in the present context synonyms to the term "controlled release".

In an embodiment of the invention, the active substance is a pharmaceutically active

25   powder. The powder typically has a particle size of from about 0.1 μm to about 500 μm, typically from about 0.5 μm to about 300 μm, more typically from about 1 μm to about 200 μm, especially from about 5 μm to about 100 μm.

A pharmaceutical composition according to the invention is – due to the possibility of

30   designing the composition in such a manner that i) a zero order release is obtained and ii) a controlled release during a predetermined time period is obtained – suitable for use for water soluble as well as slightly soluble or insoluble opioids. To the class of opioids belong water-soluble as well as less water-soluble substances. A composition according to the invention can also be applied when the at least one opioid has a solubility of at the

35   most about 3 mg/ ml such as, e.g. at the most about 1 mg/ml, at the most about 0.1 mg/ml, at the most about 0.05 mg/ml such as, e.g. at the most about 0.001 mg/ml in water at ambient temperature and/or a prolonged release of the active substance is desired in

order to obtain i) a prolonged residence time within the body after administration, ii) a reduced peak plasma concentration in order to avoid peak related side effects, iii) reduced frequency of administration in order e.g. to obtain a better patient compliance, etc.

5   To this end it seems that substantially hydrophobic active substances tend to result in a decrease in the erosion rate of the matrix composition. Substantially hydrophilic or water-soluble active substances seem to have the opposite effect, i.e. they tend to result in a faster erosion of the matrix.

10   The at least one opioid will suitably be present in an amount of up to about 80%, typically up to about 70%, up to about 60% or up to about 50%, such as, e.g., from 0.1% to 80%, such as from 0.25% to 75%, such as from 0.5% to 60%, such as from 0.75% to 50%, such as from 1% to 40%, such as from 1.5% to 35%, such as from 1.75% to 30%, from 2% to 25%, from 5% to 20%, from 10% to 20% by weight of the matrix composition. An active
15   substance content of about 60-80% is contemplated to be the maximum content, which still allows for a sufficient content of the polymer and, when relevant, the pharmaceutically acceptable excipient in the composition. The active substance may, on the other hand, be present in the composition in much smaller amounts, depending on the nature and potency of the active substance in question.
20
Preferred dosage forms are compositions comprising 15 mg, 20 mg, 30 mg, 40 mg, 60 mg, 80 mg, 90 mg 100 mg, 120 mg, 130 mg 150 mg, 160 mg, 180 mg 200 mg 250 mg or 300 mg of morphine sulphate or an equivalently effective opioid. Converting dosages between opioids are available in the literature.
25
Further therapeutically and/or prophylactically active substances
The method for controlling the release of an active system as disclosed herein can also be applied to other active substances than opioids. Thus besides an opioid, a pharmaceutical composition according to the invention may comprise one or more active substances, i.e.
30   substances, which are therapeutically, prophylactically, diagnostically and/or biologically active substance. The term "active substance" as used herein broadly includes any compound, or mixture thereof, that can be delivered from the composition to produce a beneficial result. The active and beneficial agents include pesticides, herbicides, germicides, biocides, algicides, rodenticides, fungicides, insecticides, antioxidants, plant
35   hormone promoters, plant growth inhibitors, preservatives, disinfectants, sterilization agents, catalysts, chemical reactants, fermentation agents, food supplements, nutrients, cosmetics, therapeutically active substances (drugs), vitamins, sex sterilants, fertility

inhibitors, fertility promoters, air purifiers, microorganism attenuators, ecological agents and other agents that benefit the environment in which they are used.

In the present context the term "drug substance" includes any physiologically or

5   pharmacologically active substance that produces a localized or systemic effect in animals, in particular in mammals, including humans and primates. Other animals include domestic household, sport or farm animals such as sheep, goats, cattle, horses and pigs, laboratory animals such as mice, rats and guinea pigs, fishes, avians, reptiles and zoo animals. The term "therapeutically, prophylactically and/or diagnostically active substance"

10   includes the term drug substance within its meaning.

Examples of such substances are hypnotics, sedatives, tranquilizers, anti-convulsants, muscle relaxants, analgesics, anti-inflammatory, anaesthetics, anti-spasmodics, anti-ulcer-agents, anti-parasitics, anti-microbials, anti-fungal, cardiovascular agents, diuretics,

15   cytostatics, anti-neoplastic agents, anti-viral agents, anti-glaucoma agents, anti-depressants, sympathomimetics, hypoglycaemics, diagnostic agents, anti-cough, physic energizers, anti-parkinson agents, local anesthetics, muscle contractants, anti-malarials, hormonal agents, contraceptives, anorexic, anti-arthritic, anti-diabetic, anti-hypertensive, anti-pyretic, anti-cholingergic, bronchodilator, central nervous system, inotropic,

20   vasodilator, vasoconstrictor, decongestant, hematine, iron salts and complexes, electrolyte supplement, germicidal, parasympathetolytic, parasympathethomimetic, antiemetic, psychostimulant, vitamin, beta-blockers, H-2 blocker, beta-2 agonist, counterirritants, coagulating modifying agents, stimulants, anti-hormones, drug-antagonists, lipid-regulating agents, uricosurics, cardiac glycosides, ergots and derivatives

25   thereof , expectorants, muscle-relaxants, anti-histamines, purgatives, contrastmaterials, radiopharmaceuticals, imaging agents, anti-allergic agents.

The active substance can be in various forms, such as uncharged or charged molecules, molecular complexes, crystalline forms, amorphous form, polymorphous form, solvates,

30   anhydrates, pharmacologically acceptable salts such as a hydrochloride, hydrobromide, sulfate, laurylate, palmitate, phosphate, nitrite, nitrate, borate, acetate, maleate, tartrate, oleate, and salicylate. For acidic active substance, salts of metals, amines amino acids or organic cations, quaternary ammoniums, can be used. Derivatives of active substances such as esters, ethers and amides which have solubility characteristics suitable for use

35   herein can be used alone or mixed with other drugs. After release of the derivative from the drug delivery system it may be converted by enzymes, hydrolysed by body pH or other metabolic processes to the parent drug or to another biologically active form.

Pharmaceutically acceptable excipients

Diffusion and dissolution adjusters

As already discussed above, it is important that a composition according to the invention
releases at least most of the active substance by a zero order release mechanism. One
aspect of research about controlled-release delivery systems involves designing a system,
which produces steady-state plasma drug levels. The release of active substance from
such systems is also referred to as zero-order drug release kinetics. To meet this
objective, numerous design variations have been attempted, and their major controlling
mechanisms include diffusion/dissolution.

The release rate of a dissolved or dispersed active substance from a polymeric matrix
composition introduced in a specific environment, strongly depends on the nature of the
diffusion and sorption processes involving the polymer/environment system and the
polymer/active substance system.

The active substance release data may be analyzed using Eq. 1 and Eq. 2 where M_t/M_∞
is the fractional drug release, t is the release time, k is a kinetic constant characteristics of
the drug/polymer system, C_d is the tracer loading concentration and n is an exponent
which characterizes the mechanism of release of the tracers.

$$\frac{M_t}{M_\infty} = k \cdot t^n \qquad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

$$\frac{dM_t}{A \cdot dt} = n \cdot C_d \cdot k \cdot t^{n-1} \qquad \text{(Eq.2)}$$

Clearly, a desirable mechanism for many applications is that which leads to n = 1. This
characterizes zero-order behaviour. The table below summarizes the general dependence
of n on the diffusion mechanism.

Diffusinal release solute release Exponent (n)	Overall Solute diffusion mechanism	time dependence of rate (dM_t/d_t)
0.5	Fickian diffusion	$t^{-0.5}$
$0.5 < n < 1.0$	Anomalous (non Fickian) diffusion	t^{n-1}
1.0 independent) release	Case II Transport	Zero-order (time
$n > 1.0$	Super Case II transport	t^{n-1}

In the case of PEO matrices, the solubility of the polymer can alter the characteristics of the penetrated layer, leading to different behaviours in systems presenting different dissolution features. To control the release of the active agent, there should be a balance between diffusion of the active agent and solubilization of the polymer matrix. The diffusivity of the drug through the matrix, the swelling of the polymer, and its solubilization rate may be biased by changing the molecular weight of the polymer or blending polymer fractions with different molecular weights.

In the following is given examples on suitable excipients that may be added in order to adjust the balance between diffusion and dissolution so as to obtain zero order release rate. The pharmaceutically acceptable excipients suitable for establishing the above-mentioned desired balance, are in the present context also denoted DDAs (Diffusion and Dissolution Adjusters).

Thus, the matrix composition may also comprise one or more pharmaceutically acceptable excipients (DDAs). The function of the at least one pharmaceutically acceptable excipient is to establish the desired balance between on the one hand the diffusion rate of water into the matrix composition and on the other hand the dissolution rate of the matrix composition in an aqueous medium such as, e.g., water. As explained above, a zero order release rate is obtained if that the diffusion rate of the aqueous medium into the matrix composition corresponds to about 100% ± 30% such as, e.g. about 100% ± 25%, about 100% ± 20%, about 100% ± 15% or about 100% ± 10% or about 100% of the dissolution rate of the matrix composition. By the term "zero order release" is meant that the release takes place so as to obtain a zero order release of at least about 60% w/w such as, e.g. at least about 65% w/w, at least about 70% w/w, at least about 75% w/w, at least about 80% w/w, at least about 85% w/w, at least about 90% w/w, at least about 95% w/w or at least about 97or 98% w/w of the active substance from the pharmaceutical composition when subject to an in vitro dissolution test as described herein.

In general a test for diffusion of water into the matrix composition and a test for the dissolution of the matrix composition in an aqueous medium are performed using a matrix composition having the desired shape and being prepared analogous to the matrix

5   composition in the final composition. This means that when the final composition is prepared by e.g. injection moulding then the matrix composition to be tested with respect to diffusion and dissolution behaviour is also prepared by injection moulding.

There may be cases where it is not necessary to adjust the matrix composition by adding

10 a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient. Such cases are e.g. when the polymer employed in itself has the desired properties with respect to diffusion of water and dissolution of polymer.

In the experimental section herein examples are given showing that it has been possible

15 to obtain the desired zero order release when a pharmaceutically acceptable excipients has been incorporated into the matrix composition.

Without being bound by any theory it is contemplated that in those cases where a slightly or insoluble opioid is employed then it may be necessary to circumvent the effect from the

20 active substance (with respect to diffusion and/or dissolution of the matrix composition) by adding a very soluble pharmaceutically acceptable excipient. Accordingly, it is contemplated that when the at least one opioid has a solubility of at the most about 3 mg/ ml such as, e.g. at the most about 1 mg/ml, at the most about 0.1 mg/ml, at the most about 0.05 mg/ml such as, e.g. at the most about 0.001 mg/ml in water at ambient

25 temperature then the pharmaceutically acceptable excipient, if present, typically has a solubility of at least 1 mg/ml such as, e.g. at least about 3 mg/ml, at least about 5 mg/ml, at least about 10 mg/ml, at least about 25 mg/ml or at least about 50 mg/ml in water at ambient temperature.

30 Vice versa, it is contemplated that in those cases where a very soluble opioid is employed then it may be necessary to circumvent the effect from the active substance (with respect to diffusion and/or dissolution of the matrix composition) by adding a slightly or insoluble pharmaceutically acceptable excipient. Accordingly, it is contemplated that when the at least one therapeutically, prophylactically and/or diagnostically active substance has a

35 solubility of at least about 3 mg/ml such as, e.g., at least about 5 mg/ml, at least about 10 mg/ml, at least about 20 mg/ml, at least about 50 mg/ml or at least about 100 mg/ml in water at ambient temperature, then the pharmaceutically acceptable excipients typically

has a solubility of at the most about 3 mg/ml such as, e.g., at the most about 1 mg/ml, at the most about 0.1 mg/ml, at the most about 0.05 mg/ml such as, e.g. at the most about 0.001 mg/ml in water at ambient temperature.

5   There may situations, however, where it also may be suitable to incorporate water-soluble substances (and/or water-insoluble substances) as DDA's irrespective of the solubility of the active substance.

Furthermore, in those cases where the active substance employed has a low solubility in
10   acidic medium, it is contemplated that an inorganic or organic base or substance having an alkaline reaction in aqueous environment is employed as a DDA.

Analogous, in those cases where the active substance employed has a low solubility in alkaline medium, it is contemplated that an inorganic or organic acid or substance having
15   an acidic reaction in aqueous environment is employed as a DDA.

However, other factors than the solubility in water play a role in the erosion process and therefore there may be situations where such factors dominate the solubility factor and then the above-given combinations may be of minor importance.
20

Suitable pharmaceutically acceptable excipients (DDAs) may be selected from the group consisting of inorganic acids, inorganic bases, inorganic salts, organic acids or bases and pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof, saccharides, oligosaccharides, polysaccharides, and cellulose and cellulose derivatives.
25

Alternatively or additionally, a suitable pharmaceutically acceptable excipient is a mono-, di-, oligo, polycarboxylic acid or amino acids such as, e.g. acetic acid, succinic acid, citric acid, tartaric acid, acrylic acid, benzoic acid, malic acid, maleic acid, sorbic acid etc., aspartic acid, glutamic acid etc.
30

Examples of suitable organic acids include acetic acid/ ethanoic acid, adipic acid, angelic acid, ascorbic acid/vitamin C, carbamic acid, cinnamic acid, citramalic acid, formic acid, fumaric acid, gallic acid, gentisic acid, glutaconic acid, glutaric acid, glyceric acid, glycolic acid, glyoxylic acid, lactic acid, levulinic acid, malonic acid, mandelic acid, oxalic acid,
35   oxamic acid, pimelic acid, and pyruvic acid.

Examples of suitable inorganic acids include pyrophosphoric, glycerophosphoric, phosphoric such as ortho and meta phosphoric, boric acid, hydrochloric acid, and sulfuric acid.

5   Examples of suitable inorganic compounds include aluminium.

Examples of organic bases are p-nitrophenol, succinimide, benzenesulfonamide, 2-hydroxy-2cyclohexenone, imidazole, pyrrole, diethanolamine, ethyleneamine,tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane, hydroxylamine and derivates of amines, sodium citrate,

10   aniline, hydrazine.

Examples of inorganic bases include aluminium oxide such as, e.g., aluminium oxide trihydrate, alumina, sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, calcium carbonate, ammonium carbonate, ammnonium hydroxide, KOH and the like.

15

Suitable pharmaceutically acceptable salts of an organic acid is e.g. an alkali metal salt or an alkaline earth metal salt such as, e.g. sodium phosphate, sodium dihydrogenphosphate, disodium hydrogenphosphate etc., potassium phosphate, potassium dihydrogenphosphate, potassium hydrogenphosphate etc., calcium phosphate,

20   dicalcium phosphate etc., sodium sulfate, potassium sulfate, calcium sulfate, sodium carbonate, sodium hydrogencarbonate, potassium carbonate, potassium hydrogencarbonate, calcium carbonate, magnesium carbonate etc., sodium acetate, potassium acetate, calcium acetate, sodium succinate, potassium succinate, calcium succinate, sodium citrate, potassium citrate, calcium citrate, sodium tartrate, potassium

25   tartrate, calcium tartrate etc.

A suitable inorganic salt for use in a matrix composition of the invention is sodium chloride, potassium chloride, calcium chloride, magnesium chloride etc.

30   Examples of such excipients are glucose and other monosaccharides, ribose, arabinose, xylose, lyxose, allose, altrose, inosito, glucose, sorbitol, mannose, gulose, idose, galactose, talose, mannitol, fructose, lactose, sucrose, and other disaccharides, dextrin, dextran or other polysaccharides, amylose, xylan, cellulose and cellulose derivatives such as, e.g. microcrystalline cellulose, methyl cellulose, ethyl cellulose, ethylhydroxyethyl

35   cellulose, ethylmethylcellulose, hydroxyethylcellulose, hydroxyethylmethyl cellulose, carboxymethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxymethylpropyl cellulose, hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose, amylopectin, pectin, starch, sodium starch etc.,kaolin,

bentonit, acacia, alginic acid, sodium alginate, calcium alginate, gelatin, dextrose, molasses, extract of Irish moss, panwar gum, ghatti gum, mucilage of isapol husk, veegum, glycollate, magnesium stearate, calcium stearate, stearic acid, talc, titanium dioxide, silicium dioxide, clays, croscarmellose, gums, agar etc.

5

Other ingredients in the matrix composition
The matrix composition may also contain other excipients as well, e.g. in order to improve the technical properties of the matrix composition so that it may be easier to produce or in order to improve the stability of the composition.

10

A suitable pharmaceutically acceptable excipient for use in a matrix composition of the invention may be selected from the group consisting of fillers, diluents, disintegrants, glidants, pH-adjusting agents, viscosity adjusting agents, solubility increasing or decreasing agents, osmotically active agents and solvents.

15

Suitable excipients include conventional tablet or capsule excipients. These excipients may be, for example, diluents such as dicalcium phosphate, calcium sulfate, lactose or sucrose or other disaccharides, cellulose, cellulose derivatives, kaolin, mannitol, dry starch, glucose or other monosaccharides, dextrin or other polysaccharides, sorbitol,

20   inositol or mixtures thereof; binders such as acacia, sodium alginate, starch, gelatin, saccharides (including glucose, sucrose, dextrose and lactose), molasses, extract of Irish moss, panwar gum, ghatti gum, mucilage of isapol husk, carboxymethylcellulose, methylcellulose, veegum, larch arabolactan, polyethylene glycols, ethylcellulose, water, alcohols, waxes, polyvinylpyrrolidone such as, e.g., PVP K90 (may be used to improve

25   mixing of the polymer with the other ingredients) or mixtures thereof; lubricants such as talc, magnesium stearate, calcium stearate, staeric acid, hydrogenated vegetable oils, sodium benzoate, sodium chloride, leucine, carbowax 4000, magnesium lauryl sulfate, colloidal silicon dioxide and mixtures thereof, disintegrants such as starches, clays, cellulose derivatives including crosscarmellose, gums, aligns, various combinations of

30   hydrogencarbonates with weak acids (e.g. sodium hydrogencarbonate/tartaric acid or citric acid) crosprovidone, sodium starch glycolate, agar, cation exchange resins, citrus pulp, veegum HV, natural sponge, bentonite or mixtures thereof; volatile solvents such as alcohols, including aqueous alcohols, petroleum benzine, acetone, ether or mixtures thereof; plasticizers such as sorbitol and glycerine; and others such as cocoa butter,

35   polyethylene glycols or polyethylene oxides, e.g. with a molecular weight of about 1,000-500,000 daltons, typically about 1,000-100,000 daltons, more typically 1,000-50,000 daltons, especially about 1,000-10,000 daltons, in particular about 1,500-5,000 daltons,

and mixtures thereof, hydrogenated vegetable oils, glycerinated gelatin or mixtures thereof.

The matrix composition may in addition include a cellulose derivative, e.g. a cellulose

5 derivative selected from the group consisting of methylcellulose, carboxymethylcellulose and salts thereof, microcrystalline cellulose, ethylhydroxyethylcellulose, ethylmethylcellulose, hydroxyethylcellulose, hydroxyethylmethylcellulose, hydroxypropylcellulose, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, hydroxymethylcellulose and hydroxymethylpropylcellulose. Of these cellulose derivatives, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and methylcellulose are

10 preferred for incorporation in the matrix composition.

Furthermore, the matrix composition may comprise one or more agents selected from the group consisting of sweetening agents, flavouring agents and colouring agents, in order to provide an elegant and palatable preparation. Examples of colouring agents are water

15 soluble FD&C dyes and mixtures thereof with corresponding lakes and direct compression sugars such as Di-Pac from Amstar. In addtion, coloured dye migration inhibitors such as tragacanth, acacia or attapulgite talc may be added. Specific examples include Calcium carbonate, Chromium-cobalt-aluminium oxide, ferric ferrocyanide, Ferric oxide, Iron ammonium citrate, Iron (III) oxide hydrated, Iron oxides, Magnesium carbonate, Titanium

20 dioxide.

Examples of suitable fillers are also dextrin, sucralfate, calcium hydroxyl-apatite, calcium phosphates and fatty acid salts such as magnesium stearate.

25 The filler may be added in an amount so that the combination of the filler and the active substance comprises up to about 60%, typically up to about 50%, by weight of the first composition.

In order to soften the carrier system, a plasticziser may be incorporated in the

30 composition. A suitable plasticizer is selected from the group consisting of phosphate esters; phthalate esters; amides; mineral oils; fatty acids and esters; fatty alcohols, vegetable oils and hydrogenated vegetable oils including acetylated hydrogenated cottonseed glyceride and acetylated hydrogenated soybean oil glycerides; acetyl tributyl citrate, acetyl triethyl citrate, Castor oil, diacetylated monoglycerides, dipropylene glycol

35 salicylate glycerin, glyceryl cocoate, mono- and di-acetylated monoglycerides, nitrobenzene, carbon disulfide, β-naphtyl salicylate, phthalyl glycolate, diocyl phthalate; sorbitol, sorbitol glyceryl tricitrate; sucrose octaacetate; a-tocopheryl

polyethylene glycol succinate, phosphate esters; phthalate esters; amides; mineral oils; fatty acids and esters; fatty alcohols; and vegetable oils, fatty alcohols including cetostearyl alcohol, cetyl alcohol, stearyl alcohol, oleyl alcohol and myristyl alcohol; methyl abietate, acetyl tributyl citrate, acetyl triethyl citrate, diisooctyl adipate, amyl oleate, butyl
5   ricinoleate, benzyl benzoate, butyl and glycol esters of fatty acids, butyl diglycol carbonate. butyl oleate, butyl stearate. di(beta-methoxyethyl) adipate, dibutyl sebacate, dibutyl tartrate, diisobutyl adipate, dihexyl adipate, triethylene glycol di(beta-ethyl butyrate), polyethylene glycol di(2-ethyl hexoate), diethylene glycol monolaurate, monomeric polyethylene ester, hydrogenated methyl ester of rosin, methoxyethyl oleate,
10 butoxyethyl stearate, butyl phthalyl butyl glycolate, glycerol tributyrate, triethylene glycol dipelargonate, beta-(p-tert-amyl phenoxy)ethanol, beta(p-tert-butytphenoxy)ethanol, beta-(p-teft-butytphenoxyethyl)acetate, bis(beta-p-tert-buthylphenoxydiethyl)ether, camphor, Cumar W-1, Cumar MH-1, Cumar V-1, diamyl phthalate, (diamylphenoxy) ethanol, diphenyl oxide, technical hydroabietyl alcohol, beckolin, benzene hexahydrochlonde,
15 Clorafin 40, Piccolastic A-5, Piccalastic A-25,, Flexol B-400, Glycerol alfa-methyl alfa-phenyl ether, chlorinated naphthalene, HB-40, monoamylphthalate. Nevillac 10 o-nitrodiphenyl and Paracril 26.

Preferred anti-oxidative agents include TPG e.g. in the form of TPGS due to surfactant
20 properties, BHA, BHT,t-butyl hydroquinone, calcium ascorbate, gallic acid, hydroquinone, maltol, octyl gallate, sodium bisulfite, sodium metabisulfite,tocopherol and derivates thereof, citric acid, tartaric acid, and ascorbic acid. Other antioxidants include trivalent phosphorous like e.g phosphite, phenolic antioxidants, hydroxylamines, lactones such as substituted benzofuranones. Hindered phenols, thiosynergists and/or hindered amines are
25 useful for the long-term stability for polymers, whereas the following antioxidants are suitable for use also in situation where the active substance is subject to oxidation: acids (ascorbic acid, erythorbic acid, etidronic acid, gallic acid, hypophosphorous acid, nordihydroguairetic acid, propionic acid etc.), phenols (e.g. BHA, BHT, t-butyl hydroquinone, dodecyl gallate, octyl gallate, 1,3,5-trihydroxybenzene), organic and
30 inorganic salts (calcium ascorbate, sodium ascorbate, sodium bisulphite, sodium metabisulfite, sodium sulfite, potassium bisulphite, potassium metabisulphite), esteres (calcium ascorbate, dilauryl thiodipropionate, dimyristyl thiodipropionate, distearyl thiodipropionate), pyranon (maltol), and vitamin E (tocopherol, D-α-tocopherol, DL-α-tocopherol, tocopheryl acetate, d-α-tocopheryl acetate, dl-α-tocopheryl acetate. However,
35 other anti- oxidative agents known in the art may be used according to the present invention.

pH dependant release

In some situations it may be convenient that the composition releases the active substance in a pH dependant manner. As described in e.g. WO 99/51208 a pH dependant release can be obtained by inclusion of a so-called release rate modifier. The release rate

5   modifier is preferably selected from materials conventionally used in the pharmaceutical industry to produce enteric coatings. A number of different types of compounds suitable for use as enteric coatings are known in the art; see e.g. *Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 18th Edition, 1990. Release modifiers may in particular be selected from one of three general classes, namely cellulose derivatives, methacrylic acid polymers and

10   modified gelatine compounds. Preferred release modifiers include cellulose acetate phthalate, polyvinyl acetate phthalate, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose phthalate and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose acetate succinate, as well as methacrylic acid copolymers. Modified gelatine compounds include gelatine treated with e.g. formaldehyde or glutaraldehyde.

15

Examples of commercially available polymers suitable as release modifiers are EUDRAGIT® L and EUDRAGIT® S, available from Röhm GmbH, Germany, and enteric coating agents available from Shin-Etsu Chemical Co., Japan. The release modifier will typically be present in the composition in an amount of about 0.1-10%, based on the

20   weight of the matrix, preferably about 0.5-4%, e.g. about 1-3%, such as about 1.5-2.0%. If desired, a suitable mixture of more than one release modifier may be used in order to obtain a desired release profile in any given composition.

The release modifier enables a difference in release of the active substance/erosion of the

25   matrix dependant on pH.

Coating

The pharmaceutical composition may thus have the shape of a cylindrical rod e.g. having one or two conical shaped ends, which is provided with a coating, which is substantially

30   insoluble in and impermeable to fluids such as body fluids during the intended release period, the coating having an opening at one or both ends. Polymers useful as coatings are preferably those, which are possible to process by extrusion, solution or in the form of a dispersion. Most preferred are those, which are available in a food grade or a pharmaceutical grade quality. Examples of such polymers are cellulose acetate,

35   polyamide, polyethylene, polyethylene terephthalate, polypropylenem polyurethane, polyvinyl acetate, polyvinyl chloride, silicone rubber, latex, polyhydroxybutyrate, polyhydroxyvalerate, teflon, polylactic acid or polyglycolic acid and copolymers thereof,

copolymers such as ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA), styrene-butadienestyrene (SBS) and styrene-isoprene-styrene (SIS).

The coating may also be a coating, which is substantially soluble in and permeable to
5   fluids such as body fluids during the intended release period provided that the coating dissolves so much slower than the matrix composition that the coating remains intact until the matrix has eroded and released the active substance. Examples of suitable polymers include polyols as described herein.

10 The coating may further comprise any of the above-mentioned matrix materials in a form, which erodes at a substantially slower rate than the rest of the matrix. The coating may thus comprise a matrix of one or more substantially water soluble crystalline polymers and, optionally, a non-ionic emulsifier, the coating being one which is eroded in the aqueous phase at a substantially slower rate than the matrix composition comprising the
15 active substance, whereby a substantially constant area of the matrix composition comprising the active substance is exposed during erosion of the matrix composition, and whereby the coating is substantially eroded upon erosion of the matrix composition comprising the active substance. Such a coating will be designed so that its longitudinal erosion rate is substantially the same as the longitudinal erosion rate of the matrix,
20 whereby the matrix and the coating will erode longitudinally towards the centre of the composition at substantially the same rate. Thus, when the matrix composition has been completely eroded by the aqueous medium, the coating will also be substantially completely eroded. A matrix composition having such a coating has the obvious advantage of being completely biodegraded upon release of the active substance. Such a
25 coating will typically be a combination of a polyethylene glycol and a mixture of, for example, polyethylene glycol 400 monostearate or another non-ionic emulsifier, and may also include a filler. The content of the mixture of non-ionic emulsifiers and the filler in the coating will be determined in each particular case according to the characteristics, e.g. erosion rate and size, of the matrix comprising the active substance.

30
In an embodiment of the invention, the coating is one, which disintegrates or crumbles after erosion of the matrix. A coating of this type will remain intact as long as it is supported by the matrix containing the active substance, but it lacks the ability to remain intact after erosion of the matrix, because it then disintegrates or crumbles, so that it will
35 not remain in e.g. a human or animal for any significant amount of time after the complete erosion of the matrix and the release of the active substance.

The coating may also be an enteric coating employing methacrylates, a co-polymer of methacrylate-galactomannan etc.

In an interesting embodiment, the controlled release composition of the invention further
5   comprises a coating having at least one opening exposing at least one surface of the matrix, the coating being one which crumbles and/or erodes upon exposure to the aqueous medium at a rate which is equal to or slower than the rate at which the matrix erodes in the aqueous medium, allowing exposure of said surface of the matrix to the aqueous medium to be controlled. Coatings of this type are described in WO 95/22962, to
10   which reference is made and which is incorporated herein by reference. These coatings comprise:

      (a) a first cellulose derivative which has thermoplastic properties and which is substantially insoluble in the aqueous medium in which the composition is to be used, e.g. an ethylcellulose such as ethylcellulose having an ethoxyl content in
15   the range of 44.5-52.5%, or cellulose acetate, cellulose propionate or cellulose nitrate;

and at least one of:

      (b) a second cellulose derivative which is soluble or dispersible in water, e.g. a cellulose derivative selected from the group consisting of methylcellulose,
20   carboxymethylcellulose and salts thereof, cellulose acetate phthalate, microcrystalline cellulose, ethylhydroxyethylcellulose, ethylmethylcellulose, hydroxyethylcellulose, hydroxyethylmethylcellulose, hydroxypropylcellulose, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, hydroxymethylcellulose and hydroxymethylpropylcellulose;

25   (c) a plasticizer, e.g. selected from the group consisting of phosphate esters; phthalate esters; amides; mineral oils; fatty acids and esters thereof with polyethylene glycol, glycerin or sugars; fatty alcohols and ethers thereof with polyethylene glycol, glycerin or sugars; and vegetable oils; or a non-ionic surfactant; and

30   (d) a filler, e.g. selected from conventional tablet or capsule excipients such as diluents, binders, lubricants and disintegrants.

The first cellulose derivative (a) such as, e.g., ethylcellulose is typically contained in the coating in a concentration of from about 10 to about 99% w/w such as, e.g., from about 20
35   to about 95% w/w, from about 30 to about 90% w/w, from about 40 to about 90% w/w, from about 45 to about 90% w/w, from about 50 to about 85% w/w or from about 50 to about 80% w/w.

The use of a plasticizer will often be desirable in order to improve the processibility o fthe ethylcellulose or the first cellulose derivative. The plasticizer may also be a non-ionic surfactant, e.g. a non-ionic surfactant selected from the group consisting of diacetylated

5   monoglycerides, diethylene glycol monostearate, ethylene glycol monostearate, glyceryl monooleate, glyceryl monostearate, propylene glycol monostearate, macrogol esters, macrogol stearate 400, macrogol stearate 2000, polyoxyethylene 50 stearate, macrogol ethers, cetomacrogol 1000, lauromacrogols, nonoxinols, octocinols, tyloxapol, poloxamers, polyvinyl alcohols, polysorbate 20, polysorbate 40, polysorbate 60,

10   polysorbate 65, polysorbate 80, polysorbate 85, sorbitan monolaurate, sorbitan monooleate, sorbitan monopalmitate, sorbitan monostearate, sorbitan sesquioleate, sorbitan trioleate, sorbitan tristearate and sucrose esters; nitrobenzene, carbon disulfide, β-naphtyl salicylate, phthalyl glycolate, dioctyl phthalate.

15   Other suitable plasticizers appear from EP-B-0 746 310 to which reference is made.

Pharmaceutical composition
As mentioned above a pharmaceutical composition according to the invention is a coated matrix composition from which the active substance is released by a zero order release

20   mechanism.

A composition according to the invention containing a drug substance is typically for oral administration and may be in the form of a tablet or a capsule or in the form of a multiple unit dosage form. Due to the possibility of controlling the release rate of the active

25   substance the composition may be adapted for oral administration 1-6 times a day, normally 1-4 times daily such as 1-3 times, 1-2 times or 1 times daily. The technology may also provide compositions for administration only once or twice daily. In the present context the term "once daily" is intended to mean that it is only necessary to administer the pharmaceutical composition once a day in order to obtain a suitable therapeutic and/or

30   prophylactic response; however, any administration may comprise co-administration of more than one dosage unit, such as, e.g. 2-4 dosage units if the amount of active substance required may not be formulated in only one composition or if a composition of a smaller size is preferred.

35   The dosage of the active substance depends on the particular substance, the age, weight condition etc. of the human or animal that will be treated with the composition etc. All such factors are well known to a person skilled in the art.

The controlled release of the active substance is caused by erosion at a substantially constant rate of a surface or surfaces of the composition

5   The rate at which the active substance is released from the matrix is a predetermined rate, i.e. a rate, which is controllable over a certain period of time. The release rate required in each particular instance may *inter alia* depend on the amount of active substance to be released for it to exert the desired effect, as well as on the overall dosage of the active substance contained in the matrix. The substance of which the matrix is

10   composed and the distribution of the active substance in the matrix may therefore be selected according to one or more of these criteria to ensure the desired level of release of the active substance.

Due to the controlled release of the active substance obtainable from the pharmaceutical

15   composition of the invention, it is possible to obtain a substantially constant rate of release of the active substance over a specific period of time, corresponding to the dosage necessary for the treatment in question, so that adherence to a strict dosage regimen, e.g. requiring administration of a drug at set intervals up to several times a day, may be dispensed with.

20

Furthermore, it is possible to include two or more different active substances in the pharmaceutical composition of the invention, and the two or more different active substances may be adapted to be released at different concentrations and/or intervals, thus making it easier for patients to follow a prescribed regimen.

25

An additional advantage of a pharmaceutical composition of the invention, compared to other known controlled release compositions, is that it may be produced by relatively simple and inexpensive methods.

30   Furthermore, a pharmaceutical composition according to the invention allows for the incorporation of high concentrations of the active substance relative to the size of the delivery system. This is obviously a great advantage, notably when the composition is to be used for the delivery of a therapeutically, prophylactically and/or diagnostically active substance, since it allows for the delivery of the required amount of the active substance

35   without the size of the composition being unnecessarily large. In addition, sparingly soluble or non-soluble active substances may be readily incorporated into a composition of the invention. A composition of the invention may thus be used for the delivery of, for

example, sparingly soluble or non-soluble pharmaceutical powders which can otherwise be difficult to administer.

As mentioned above, the release of the opioid from the pharmaceutical composition

5   corresponds to a substantially zero order release determined by *in vitro* dissolution test according to USP with or without application of sinkers. The substantially zero order release is obtained in a time period of at least 1 hours such as, e.g. at least 2 hours, at least 3 hours, at least 4 hours or at least 5 hours, or in a time period of at least 5 hours such as, e.g. at least 6 hours, at least 7 hours, at least 8 hours, at least 9 hours or at least

10 10 hours.

Morphine compositions

In the experimental section herein examples are given on suitable morphine containing compositions, which are based on the concept described herein.

15

In an embodiment the invention provides

a pharmaceutical composition for controlled release of morphine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt or metabolite thereof into an aqueous medium by erosion of at least one

20 surface of the composition, the composition comprising

i) a matrix composition comprising a) polymer or a mixture of polymers, b) morphine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt or metabolite thereof and, optionally, c) one or more pharmaceutically acceptable excipients, and

25

ii) a coating having at least one opening exposing at the one surface of said matrix, the coating comprising

a) a first cellulose derivative which has thermoplastic properties and which is
30 substantially insoluble in the aqueous medium in which the composition is to be used,

and at least one of

b) a second cellulose derivative which is soluble or dispersible in water,

c) a plasticizer, and
35 d) a filler,

wherein the matrix composition has a conus-like shape so that the surface area exposed to the aqueous medium increases at least during initial erosion of the matrix composition, and

5   the dissolution of the opioid - when tested in a Dissolution Test as described herein with with or without application of sinkers - results in a zero order release of at least 80% of the opioid contained in the composition.

Especially suitable polymers are those of the polyol type described herein such as, e.g.
10   polyethylene glycol, a polyethylene oxide and/or a block copolymer of ethylene oxide and propylene oxide. The polymers have a molecular weight of from about 20,000 daltons, such as, e.g., from about 20,000 to about 700,000 daltons, from about 20,000 to about 600,000 daltons, from about 35,000 to about 500,000 daltons, from about 35,000 to about 400,000 daltons, from about 35,000 to about 300,000 daltons, from about 50,000 to about
15   300,000 daltons, such as, e.g. about 35,000 daltons, about 50,000 daltons, about 75,000 daltons, about 100,000 daltons, about 150,000 daltons, about 200,000 daltons, about 250,000 daltons, about 300,000 daltons or about 400,000 daltons.

From the examples it is seem that employment of PEO 200,000 leads to a suitable
20   composition.

Suitable pharmaceutically acceptable excipients are also described herein such as, e.g. inorganic acids, inorganic bases, inorganic salts, organic acids or bases and pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof, saccharides, oligosaccharides,
25   polysaccharides, and cellulose and cellulose derivatives. The organic acid may be a mono-, di-, oligo or polycarboxylic acid such as, e.g. acetic acid, succinic acid, citric acid, tartaric acid, acrylic acid, benzoic acid, malic acid, maleic acid etc.

From the examples it is seem that employment of mannitol or a base like aluminium oxide
30   leads to a suitable composition.

Accordingly, in a specific embodiment the invention relates to a pharmaceutical composition in which the matrix composition comprises morphine, PEO 200,000 and mannitol and/or aluminium oxide. Preferred embodiments appear from the examples,
35   however the excipients are generally used in concentrations from 0-60%, such as, e.g., from about 0 to about 50%, from about 0 to about 40%, from about 0.5 to about 30%, such as from 0.2 to 15%, preferable from 0.5% to 10%. The actual amount preferred in

the composition for optimizing the desired function of the excipients depends on the properties of the individual excipients.

In a specific embodiment, a composition according to the invention is in the form of a
5   single unit dose as opposed to a multiple unit composition. However, as described below it is also possible to provide a multiple unit composition.

Multiple units composition

The pharmaceutical composition according to the invention may furthermore be used in
10   the preparation of a multiple units pharmaceutical composition, e.g. in the form of a capsule or tablet. A multiple units pharmaceutical composition is a composition, which comprises a multiplicity of individual units in such a form that the individual units will be made available upon disintegration of the composition, typically a capsule or tablet, in the stomach of humans or animals ingesting said composition. Thus, in this case, at least
15   some of the individual units in said multiple units pharmaceutical composition will consist of the composition of the invention, the individual units being of a size, which allows them to be incorporated into such a composition.

Preparation

20   The delivery system as well as the first composition of the invention may be produced by various methods which are either known per se in the pharmaceutical industry or which, for example, are used in the production of polymer-based materials, depending upon the desired embodiment and the materials employed in the composition in question. As mentioned above, one advantage of the composition according to the invention is that it
25   may be produced by methods, which are relatively simple and inexpensive.

A pharmaceutical composition may be produced by, for example, co-extrusion of the coating with the matrix composition and the active substance, extrusion and dip coating, injection moulding and dip coating, or by extrusion or injection moulding and solvent
30   coating by spraying or dipping.

For further details reference is made to the experimental section herein.

Method for controlling the release

35   As mentioned above the interaction of controlling the release of the active ingredient in light of the desired plasma concentration and the given pharmacokinetic properties of the drug is the most important factor for optimizing the clinical use of a drug. It is clear from

the clinical results and the interaction with the highly controllable release demonstrated herein that superior formulations may be achieved with the present technology.

5   The in vivo control may easily be described by the % of maximal concentration at different time points after dosing in a single dose study. By linking the concentration at specific time points relative to the maximal concentration, situations where high late concentrations is predominantly a function of elimination from a high peak concentration are excluded.

As clearly appears from the above results, the formulation according to the present
10   invention demonstrates a plasma curve capable of resulting in a substantial constant steady state curve after multiple dosing. This is demonstrated by the fact that the product according to the present invention demonstrates a maximum concentration which is approximately half 55% of the reference product (Study 3). The time where the concentrations is at least half the maximal concentration (C $\geq$50%) is 80% longer by the
15   product according to the invention as demonstrated in Study 2 and in addition, the time where the concentrations is at least 75% of the maximal value is 92% of the time obtained with the reference product. From Study 3 it is seen that the time where the concentration is at least 50% of the maximal concentration is more than 100% longer for the composition according to the present invention.
20
It is clear from these values that the release from the product according to the present invention is not only very much controlled in vitro as demonstrated by a zero order release, but also demonstrates a high degree of control in vivo.

25   In addition the concentration by the end of the dosing interval corresponding to hour 12 for a twice daily product is actually 15% higher than for the reference product in the present study. It appears that the formulation will also be suitable for a once daily administration as the plasma concentration at hour 24 is about half of the maximal concentration whereas the reference product at hour 24 demonstrates a concentration less than 15% of
30   its maximal concentration.

Accordingly, the present invention provide a product capable for obtaining a stable plasma concentration as demonstrated by single dose pharmacokinetic parameters where the concentration 8 hours after dosing is at least 40% of the maximal concentration obtained
35   by the dose, such as at least 50% and more preferred at least 60% of the maximal concentration.

In a further aspect, the present invention provides a formulation wherein the concentration 10 hours after dosing is at least 40% of the maximal concentration obtained by the dose, such as at least 50% and more preferred at least 60% of the maximal concentration.

5   In a still further aspect, the present invention provides a formulation wherein the concentration 12 hours after dosing is at least 20% of the maximal concentration obtained by the dose, such as at least 30% and more preferred at least 35% and more preferred about 40% and still more preferred at least 40% of the maximal concentration.

10   For a once daily product where a substantial plasma concentration is needed throughout the dosing interval, it is important that the single dose pharmacokinetic parameters demonstrate a high level of control of release from the dosage form as proved with the present invention.

15   Accordingly a once daily formulation is also disclosed herein. Such formulation of the present invention is one where the plasma concentration is at least 50% of the maximal concentration for at least 6 hours, preferable at least 8 hours, more preferred for at least 9 hours and still more preferred for at least 10 hours. In a further preferred formulation, a concentration of at least 20% such as at least 25% and more preferred at least 30% is
20   present at hour 12 after dosing For a still more preferred once daily formulation, the concentration is at least 20% such as at least 25% and more preferred least 30% such as at least 35% of the maximal concentration at hour 18 after dosing and still more preferred a concentration of at least 20% such as at least 25% such as about 30% of the maximal concentration is present at hour 24 after dosing of a single dose.
25
It is contemplated that the high concentrations described herein by the late hours 8 to 24 by a single dose is not a result of a simple delay of release from the formulation, but obtained with a formulation wherein the maximal concentration is reached within hour 1 to 10, such as within hour 1.5 to 9, more preferred within hour 2 to 8 and still more preferred
30   within hour 1.5 to 7, such as within hour 2 to 6 and even more preferred within hour 2.5 to 5 from the dosing time point in order to provide the patient with a sufficient pain relief and at the same time avoiding a high absorption rate resulting in a peak like plasma concentration curve.

35   As mentioned above, the invention also relates to a method for controlling the release of an opioid from a pharmaceutical composition. To this end all details and particulars

described above under the composition aspect applies *mutatis mutandi* to the method aspect and to other aspects of the invention.

The invention is further illustrated in the following figures and non-limiting examples.

5

Fig. 1A is a plug holder suitable for use when determining diffusion and dissolution rate. A stopper on the right seals the plug holder, and the swelling layer is formed on the left side on the plug.

10   Fig. 1B is a suitable conical shape for an opioid composition. Suitable values are e.g. a = 3 mm, b = 4.5 mm, c = 1.5 mm and d = 9 mm; a = 3 mm, b = 4.6 mm, c = 2 mm and d = 9 mm; a = 2.3 mm, b = 5.3 mm, c = 1.5 mm and d = 7.5 mm; or a = 3.4 mm, b = 5.1 mm, c = 2 mm and d = 7.5 mm

15   Fig. 2 is the dissolution profile from the composition of Example 1.

Fig 3 (1A, 1B, 2A and 2B) shows the dissolution profiles from the compositions of Example 2.

20   Fig. 4 shows the plasma concentration vs. time profile for the clinical study on healthy volunteers reported in Example 3.

Fig. 5 shows the plasma concentration vs. time profile for the clinical study in phase II reported in Example 3.

25

Fig. 6 shows a differential scanning calorimetric diagram of a controlled release product according to the invention comprising 17.5% morphine sulphate. The diagram demonstrated that no crystalline morphine is detected by the method.

30   Fig. 7 shows a dissolution curve of batch 03-0005-66 basket method, rpm 100, buffer pH 6.8, the formulation being a cone 1 according to table A. The dissolution time is 473 minutes for the two upper curves.

The dissolution curve demonstrates an increase in release at the time corresponding to
35   the time where the erosion has passed the cone area (2 formulations did not move regularly and were disregarded; the same applies to several of the other dissolution diagrams).

Fig. 8 shows the same formulation as in Fig 7, however the dissolution is the paddle method, rpm 100, same medium buffer pH 6.8. The dissolution time is 578 minutes.

5   Fig. 9 shows the same as Fig 8 except that the rotation speed is 50 rpm. The dissolution time is 573 minutes.

Fig. 10 shows a dissolution curve of batch 03-0003-66 paddle method, rpm 50, medium buffer pH 6.8, the formulation is a cone 2 according to table A. The dissolution time is 594

10   minutes.

Fig. 11 shows a dissolution curve of batch 03-0003-66 paddle method, rpm 50, medium buffer pH 6.8, the formulation being a cone 3 according to table A. The dissolution time is 582 minutes.

15
Fig 12 shows a dissolution curve of batch 03-0003-66 paddle method, rpm 50, medium buffer pH 6.8, the formulation being a cone 4 according to table A. The dissolution time is 613 minutes.

20   Fig 13 shows a dissolution curve of batch 03-0025-66 basket method, rpm 100, medium buffer pH 6.8, the formulation being a cone 1 according to table A. The dissolution time is 495 minutes.

Fig 14 shows a dissolution curve of batch 03-0026-66 paddle method, rpm 50, medium
25   buffer pH 6.8, the formulation is a 9 mm long without cone and 150 mm^2. The dissolution time is 534 minutes.

Fig 15 shows dissolution curves for cones 1-4 together with a 9 mm round formulation by use of sinkers in the dissolution test, such as use of CAPWHT-02, a spiral coated sinker
30   0.900.37 inch capacity. The sinker is locked to the formulation by use of a wire. 50 rpm and buffer pH 6.8

Fig 16 shows a linear XY plot of mean plasma Morphine concentration versus time curves for Study 2 following a single dose of 1 x 30 mg Morphine Sulphate Egalet (Test
35   Formulation (A), Batch 03-0005-066, shape Cone 1), or a single dose of 1 x 30 mg MST Continus tablet (Reference Formulation (B)) / Napp, Ireland) in each study period (n=8).

Fig 17 shows a linear XY plot of mean plasma Morphine concentrations versus time curves for Study 3 following a single dose of 1 x 30 mg Morphine Sulphate Egalet® controlled release Test Formulation (A), Batch 03-0062-066, shape Cone 5 fed and fasted), or a single dose of 1 x 30 mg MST Continus® tablet (Reference Formulation (B)).

Fig 18 shows dose normalized mean steady plasma morphine concentration from commercial available Kadian® (once a day) and an equivalent dose of a 12-hour, controlled-release morphine tablet given twice a day. Plasma concentrations are normalized to 100 mg every 24 hours, (n=24). The source of the curve is the label from the Kadian® commercial product.

Fig 19 shows a dissolution profile 50 rpm, buffer pH 6.8 for a Batch similar to the one of Fig 15, a round formulation 9 mm without cone. The sinker is a CAPWHT-02.

Fig 20 shows dissolution from baseline and 3-month stability of the batch used in clinical Study 2, 03-0005-066 Cav. 1. From the baseline curve the following release rates are identified: % released; Time in min; release rate in min/%: 21%; 135 min; rate = 6,428571 – 42%; 255 min ; rate = 6,071429 – 62%; 375min ; rate 0 6,048387 - 81%; 465min ; rate = 5,740741. Total release 570 minutes, corresponding to 9.5 hours (dissolution without use of sinkers).

Fig. 21 shows the dissolution for Batch 03-0067-066(conus 5) similar to Batch 03-0062-066 (study 3) with sinkers CAPWHT-02; Mean time dissolution (100%) is 458 min corresponding to 7.6 hours.

Methods

Diffusion/ Dissolution studies

Method for determination of dissolution rate of the matrix

A composition according to the invention has properties that ensure that the diffusion rate of water into the polymer matrix substantially corresponds to the dissolution rate of the polymer matrix composition into the aqueous medium. In the following is given a simple method to test these conditions.

The polymers that are suitable for use according to the present invention and which are sufficiently hydrophilic are water-soluble. When contacted with water, a sharp advancing waterfront divides the intact and not penetrated matrix from a swollen front. Under

stationary conditions, a constant thickness surface layer is formed by the swollen polymer and by a high concentration of polymer in solution.

In fact, once the hydrodynamic external conditions are defined, a stationary state is
5   reached where the rate of penetration of the moving boundary equals the rate of removal of the polymer at the external surface.

The time lapse until the quasi-stationary state is reached is called swelling time. At steady state, the dissolution rate is constant and can be defined equally by either the velocity of
10   the retracting front of the polymer or the velocity of the front separating the pure penetrate and the liquid dissolving sublayer. Thus, both fronts are synchronized.

When the dissolution rate equals the penetration rate (i.e. the diffusion rate) a constant thickness surface layer should be observed. The dissolving layer evolution during water
15   conditioning should reflect the different dissolution characteristics of the materials employed. The surface layer thickness is measured as a function of time.

In order to measure the diffusion rates of water, samples may be prepared in the form of plugs fitting to the sample holder (e.g. 2 mm, 4 mm, 6 mm, 7.5 mm and 12 mm long and
20   preferable with the same shape and volume as the desired dosage unit). The sample holder is prepared by translucent glass in a tubular shape and with noticeable marks indicated with a specific distance.

The test proceeds as follows: Place 1 plug incorporated into the glass tube in a vessel –
25   optionally with a water soluble dye (e.g. Cu^{2+}) - and the plug/glass tube is placed in a dissolution apparatus e.g. according to monograph: USP 24, page1941-1950, which is hereby incorporated by reference (see Fig. 1A). By employment of the USP method it is possible to determine the diffusion rate as well as the dissolution rate in the same experiment. The copper ions are blue-colored so they are visually detectable and due to
30   the metric scale on the tube, the diffusion rate can be calculated (unit is length/time). The dissolution rate is determined by determining the amount of substance (e.g. active substance) released and at the same time determining the length of the matrix composition that has been eroded. Thus, the dissolution rate is also in length/time units. As the dissolution profile easily can be obtained from the data measured, a simple means
35   for the determination of whether the release follows zero order is to investigate the dissolution profile and see whether linearity is present.

Agitation is provided, and the length of the front of matrix is measured at desired time intervals as a function of time. The measurement may be a simple visual identification of the marks on the glass tube. For comparative test, the same set of test conditions is used.

5   When the dissolution rate equals the penetration rate a constant thickness surface layer is observed. The different dissolving layers in different matrices obtained during the water contact, reflect the different dissolution characteristics of the matrix. The thickness of the surface layer as a function of time is then compared. The specific aqueous medium may be selected individually.

10

Dissolution test

Dissolution tests were performed in accordance with the USP 24, NF 19, (711), Dissolution, Apparatus 2 equipped with a paddle. The dissolution medium was 0.1 N hydrochloric acid during the first 120 min, which was then substituted with a buffer solution

15   pH 6.8. The volume of the dissolution medium was 1000 ml and the rotation speed of the paddle was 120 rpm during the first 120 min and then 50 rpm. Samples were withdrawn at suitable time intervals and analysed for content of opioid by means of UV spectrometry at a wavelength of 284 nm.

20   **Examples**

A general method for the preparation of a controlled release composition is described below.

Preparation of the matrix composition

25

An accurate amount of the polymer (i.e. in the examples below: the polyethylene oxide) is loaded into a MTI mixer followed by an accurate amount of the active substance and of the pharmaceutically acceptable excipients(s), if any. The mixing is performed at 2000/1800 rpm and at a time period of from 8 min to 20 min. At the start of the mixing the

30   temperature is about 19 °C and the final temperature of the mixture is about 40-48 °C. The mixture is then allowed to cool to room temperature and is ready to be fed into an injection moulding machine.

When TPGS is included in the composition, TPGS and PEO are premixed by adding

35   melted TPGS to PEO followed by mixing in a mortar with piston and gambling cards.

Preparation of the coating composition

The coating composition was prepared by first adding the ethylcellulose then cetostearyl alcohol, and finally the titanium dioxide to an MTI-Mixer at a temperature about 21°C. After mixing for nearly 9 min at 1000 rpm (I: 0.9 A) the mixer was stopped (temperature about 46°C) and the adhered material manually incorporated into the mixture. The mixture

5   was left to cool for about 10 minutes. The mixing is then finalized with a short high-speed mix in order to minimize lumps formation. The mixture was then allowed to cool to room temperature, after which it had a suitable consistency for being fed into an injection moulding machine.

10   Example of coat composition

Batch: 58-014-01-013

%	Batch	Material	amount (g)	Weight (g)	step
79	991207-A	Ethocel	632	632	1
20	990426-B	Cetylstearyl Alcohol	160	160.1	2
1	97051301	TiO$_2$	8	8.0	3
100		total	800	800.1	

15   The final dosage units may be prepared according to two different methods.
In one method, the coat and the matrix moulded individually followed by a manually incorporation of the moulded matrix plug into the moulded coat. The moulding machine used is an Arburg Allrounder 220 S 250/60.

20   In the second method, the coat and matrix are moulded in one process where the coat is moulded in a first step and the matrix is moulded directly into the coat in a second step. The moulding machine used is Arburg Allrounder 420 V 800-60/35.

Examples

25

Example 1

Preparation of a morphine containing controlled release composition according to the invention

A composition (batch No. 01-0112-066) according to the invention was prepared from the

following ingredients:

Matrix
Polyethylene oxide 200,000   83.5% w//w
5 Morphine sulfate   16.5% w/w

The coating and the matrix were prepared as described above. The composition was 9 mm long and had elliptic formed surfaces.

10 The composition was subjected to the dissolution test described above. The following results were obtained:

Time (hours)	% w/w release morphine sulfate from the composition
1	19.48
2	33.64
3	44.22
4	55.59
5	70.11
6	80.70
7	91.30
8	96.65

The result is also shown in Fig. 2 and the release corresponds to a zero order release.

25 **Example 2**
Preparation of morphine-containing compositions according to the invention
In the table below is given details on the composition of 4 different morphine compositions. The content of morphine sulphate in all compositions corresponds to 30 mg morphine sulphate. The volumes of the different compositions were the same, whereas
30 the diameter of the open-end surfaces varies.

No.	Length / mm	Composition (% w/w)				
		PEO 200.000	Morphine Sulphate	TPGS	$AlO_2, 3H_2O$	Mannit
1B	7.5; Ellipse[a]	76,5	18,7	2,5	2,3	
2B	12; round[b]	68,7	18,7	2,6		10,0
2A	9; round[c]	69,9	17,5	2,6		10,0
1A	9; round[d]	77,3	17,9	2,5	2,4	

[a] $150 \ mm^3/20 \ mm^2$

^{b} 137 mm^3/diameter 5 mm

^{c} 150 mm^3/16.67 mm^2

^{d} 150 mm^3/16.67 mm^2

Accordingly, interesting embodiments according to the invention are compositions

5   comprising

i)   Morphine (e.g. as morphine sulphate) 10-30% w/w such as, e.g., from 15 to 25% w/w or from 15 to 20% w/w,

ii)   PEO 200,000 50-90% w/w such as, e.g., from about 60 to about 85% w/w, from about 70 to about 85% w/w or from about 75 to about 80% w/w,

10   iii)   TPGS 0-5% w/w such as, e.g., from about 1.5 to about 3% w/w,

iv)   Aluminium oxide 0-5% w/w such as, e.g. from about 2 to about 3% w/w, and

v)   Mannitol 0-20% w/w such as, e.g., from about 5 to about 15% w/w.

All compositions demonstrated 6 months accelerated stability at 40 °C/75% RH and 12

15   months stability at 25 °C/75% RH. In all compositions each single impurity is below 0.1% w/w.

In the following is given the data for the dissolution profiles of each composition:

20   Composition 2A (see fig. 3-2A):

Time / h	% active substance dissolved	
0,0	-0,36	
1,0	23,45	20.0
2,0	41,3	35.2
3,0	59,5	50.7
4,0	75,93	64.7
5,0	90,83	77.4
6,0	107,34	91.5
6,5	113,26	96.6
7,0	116,67	99.4
7,5	117,24	100
8,0	117,28	100

Composition 2B (see Fig 3-2B)

Time / h	% active substance dissolved	

0,0	-0,48	
1,0	19,22	16.9
2,0	34,44	30.0
3,0	44,3	39.0
4,0	55,52	48.8
5,0	66,13	58.2
6,0	76,93	67.7
7,0	87,19	76.7
8,0	98,11	86.3
9,0	109,04	96.0
9,5	111,26	97.8
10,0	112,63	99.1
10,5	113,48	100
11,0	113,66	100

Composition 1B (see Fig. 3-1B)

Time / h	% active substance dissolved	
0,0	-0,47	
1,0	30,15	23.7
2,0	55,72	43.9
3,0	77,54	61.1
4,0	97,55	76.8
5,0	117,57	92.6
5,5	124,77	98.2
6,0	126,89	100
6,5	126,93	100

5

Composition 1A (see Fig. 3-1A)

Time / h	% active substance dissolved	
0,0	-0,423	
1,0	23,17	19.3
2,0	40,47	33.8
3,0	53,27	44.4
4,0	67,13	56.0
5,0	80,67	67.3
6,0	101,23	84.4
7,0	108,16	90.2
7,5	114,53	95.6
8,0	119,78	100

The results show that the use of mannitol or aluminiumoxide as a DDA leads to the desired zero order release of morphine sulphate from a composition according to the invention. The above-mentioned compositions were subject to a clinical study. The clinical

5   study is reported in the following example.

Example 3
A single dose, randomized, cross-over, pharmacokinetic pilot study on four different morphine compositions according to the invention

10 The objectives were to study the pharmacokinetics of morphine after administration of four different morphine compositions according to the invention. The compositions had different shape and size and the DDAs employed in order to enable a zero order dissolution profile were different (mannitol and aluminium oxide, respectively).

15 16 healthy male volunteers aged 20 to 40 who had given their written informed consent were included in the study.

The volunteers were screened up to three weeks prior to baseline. The first treatment was administered at the baseline visit and second treatment was administered after 2 weeks of

20 wash out. Follow-up visits took place 30 days after the second study period.

The compositions tested were those described in Example 2 above. The dose given corresponds to 30 mg morphine sulphate.

25 The results of the study are shown in Fig. 4. In Fig. 4 is also included data for a comparitive composition, Dolcontin. The results indicate that the shape as well as the size of the composition is important.

Another clinical study has also been performed as a phase II, open, prospective,

30 controlled study in patients with chronic pain. The study included 13 patients with chronic pain for any reason judged by the investigator as stable and in need of opioids analgesics. A composition according to the invention was tested and compared with a commercially available morphine containing composition, Dolcontin. The total morphine sulphate released from the composition according to the invention was about 20 mg (the dosage in

35 Dolcontin was 30 mg). Although there was a difference in the amount administered, it was evident from the study that the therapeutic effect of a composition according to the invention was not different from Dolcontin, i.e. a reduction is the overall dose may be

reduced by the use a zero order release composition. Moreover, the adverse effects reported were less compared to the Dolcontin composition, most likely due to the smaller amount of morphine sulphate administered. Another interesting feature is that during the study rescue medication was allowed and there was no difference in the intake of rescue

5   medicine of patients administered with Dolcontin or with a composition according to the invention. Fig. 5 shows the plasma concentration versus time profiles from the study.

Example 4

A composition (batch No.: 03-0003-066) according to the invention was prepared from the

10   following ingredients.

Matrix	% w/w
PEO 200,000 LF	69.90%
Morphine Sulphate Pentahydrate	17.5%
Mannitol	10%
TPGS	2.6%

Example 5

15   A composition (batch No.: 03-0004-066) according to the invention was prepared from the following ingredients.

Matrix	% w/w
PEO 200,000 LF	84.70%
Mannitol	12.1%
TPGS	3.2%

20   **Example 6**

A composition (batch No.: 03-0005-066) according to the invention was prepared from the following ingredients.

Matrix	% w/w
PEO 200,000 LF	69.90%

53

Morphine Sulphate Pentahydrate	17.5%
Mannitol	10%
TPGS	2.6%

Example 7

A composition (batch No.: 03-0007-066) according to the invention was prepared from the

5   following ingredients.

Matrix	% w/w
PEO 200,000 LF	69.90%
Morphine Sulphate Pentahydrate	17.5%
Mannitol	10%
TPGS	2.6%

Example 8

A composition (batch No.: 03-0008-066) according to the invention was prepared from the

10   following ingredients.

Matrix	% w/w
PEO 200,000 LF	69.90%
Morphine Sulphate Pentahydrate	17.5%
Mannitol	10%
TPGS	2.6%

Example 9

15   A composition (batch No.: 03-0023-066) according to the invention was prepared from the

following ingredients.

Matrix	% w/w
PEO 200,000 NF	69.90%
Morphine Sulphate Pentahydrate	17.5%
Mannitol	10%

TPGS   2.6%

Example 10

A composition (batch No.: 03-0024-066) according to the invention was prepared from the

5   following ingredients.

Matrix	% w/w
PEO 200,000 NF	69.90%
Morphine Sulphate Pentahydrate	17.5%
Mannitol	10%
TPGS	2.6%

Example 11

10 A composition (batch No.: 03-0025-066) according to the invention was prepared from the
following ingredients.

Matrix	% w/w
PEO 200,000 NF	69.90%
Morphine Sulphate Pentahydrate	17.5%
Mannitol	10%
TPGS	2.6%

15 ### Example 12

A composition (batch No.: 03-0026-066) according to the invention was prepared from the
following ingredients.

Matrix	% w/w
PEO 200,000 NF	69.90%
Morphine Sulphate Pentahydrate	17.5%
Mannitol	10%
TPGS	2.6%

The shape of the composition was 9 mm without cone.

Example 13

5   Four different designs have been tested in order to increase the rate of dissolution in the later hours compared to the initial hours in order to counteract the very fast and rapid absorption of morphine in patients and thereby decrease the initial peak in morphine concentration. The compositions tested were those from Examples 4, 6, 11 and 12. Cone 1 is tested in a similar PK pilot clinical trial as described above.

10

Table A demonstrates the specific dimensions of the formulation according to the inventions wherein one end of the tubular shape has a cone configuration and dimensions according to Figure 1B and as follows:

15 Table A (confer Figure 1B)

D mm	B mm	A mm	C mm	Volume mm²	Cone Shape
D 9.00	B 4.89	A 3.00	C 3.00	150.00	1
D 10.00	B 4.63	A 3.00	C 3.50	150.00	2
D 10.00	B 4.63	A 3.50	C 4.85	150.00	3
D 11.00	B 4.29	A 3.50	C 3.50	150.00	4
D 9.00	B 4.81	A 3.50	C 3.00	150.00	5

The corresponding dissolution curves for cones 1-4 appear from Figures 7-13. Figure 15 shows corresponding dissolution curves for cones 1-4 together with a 9 mm round formulation by use of sinkers in the dissolution test.

20

Example 14

Clinical Study 2

Objective: To compare the pharmacokinetic profile of Morphine Sulphate Egalet® 30 mg
25 with that of a reference product, MST Continus® 30 mg tablets, in healthy male volunteers

Study design: A single-dose, randomised, crossover study in healthy male volunteers.

Test drug Morphine Sulphate Egalet® 30 mg controlled release formulation,

1 x 30 mg, Batch 03-0005-066 (Example 6, Conus 1). Reference drug: MST Continus ®, 30 mg tablet 1 x 30 mg, Batch 110881. Test for content of test and reference drug after finalizing clinical study and study report demonstrated that the content of the test drug was 12% lower than the reference drug. The result is not corrected for that difference in Table

5   B.

Statistical Methods: Descriptive statistics as follows were calculated for each variable: arithmetic mean (Mean), geometric mean (GeoM), standard deviation (SD), coefficient of variation (CV), absolute minimum (Min), maximum (Max) and median (Med). The

10   pharmokinetic profiles of the test and reference formulations were compared through a comparison of descriptive statistics. Data is presented with 90% CI and intra-individual CV.

Table B

Results: Morphine						
Pharmacokinetics:						
Table 1: Summary statistics Test A v Reference B						
Variable	Arith. Mean		Std. Dev.		Range	
	Test	Ref	Test	Ref	Test	Ref
$AUC_{0-\infty}$	434.27	333.90	238.07	173.15	173.59 – 799.13	160.41 – 641.08
AUC_{0-12} (nmol/L.h)	111.94	189.26	75.58	110.72	60.63 – 289.82	93.22 – 416.11
AUC_{0-24} (nmol/L.h)	185.90	238.72	111.31	127.43	101.35 – 441.54	121.94 – 486.81
AUC_{0-32} (nmol/L.h)	236.01	265.94	137.81	136.83	131.43 – 542.66	142.39 – 531.92
C_{max} (nmol/L)	15.90	32.45	8.31	19.67	8.44 – 33.70	14.40 – 71.80
C_{12h} (nmol/L)	6.95	6.16	5.33	3.94	3.26 – 19.30	2.12 – 12.70
$C_{av(0-12)}$ (nmol/L)	9.33	15.77	6.30	9.23	5.05 – 24.15	7.77 – 34.68
t_{max} (nmol/L)	3.50	2.13	1.31	1.36	2.0 – 5.0	1.0 – 5.0
C ≥50%	10.60	5.84	4.50	2.33	5.97 – 19.80	3.14 – 10.29
C ≥75%	2.67	3.18	1.02	1.72	1.68 – 4.72	1.09 – 5.42
$t_{1/2}$ (h)	28.38	17.78	13.98	11.68	8.71 – 49.56	6.88 – 38.40
MRT (h)	42.07	20.82	18.70	10.70	17.56 – 71.64	9.78 – 39.01
K_{el} (h^{-1})	0.0319	0.0543	0.0209	0.0292	0.0140 – 0.0796	0.0181 – 0.1007
% Residual	42.71	19.04	16.10	12.91	13.30 – 63.98	4.02 – 37.94

Table 2: Confidence limits, point estimates and CV Test A v Reference B						
Variable	Geom. Mean		Point Estimate	90% Confidence Limits	Intra-Subject CV	Inter-Subject CV
	Test	Ref				
$AUC_{0-\infty}$	380.01	300.16	126.60%	100.14% - 160.07%	24.50%	54.07%
AUC_{0-12} (nmol/L.h)	97.52	166.57	58.54%	52.03% - 65.87%	12.18%	66.34%
AUC_{0-24} (nmol/L.h)	165.63	213.74	77.49%	68.30% - 87.92%	13.05%	55.94%
AUC_{0-32} (nmol/L.h)	210.26	240.11	87.57%	79.39% - 96.59%	10.12%	53.22%
C_{max} (nmol/L)	14.39	28.38	50.71%	42.34% - 60.74%	18.73%	69.94%
C_{12h} (nmol/L)	5.82	5.04	115.64%	82.40% - 162.29%	35.97%	69.36%
$C_{av(0-12)}$ (nmol/L)	8.12	13.88	58.52%	52.02% - 65.84%	12.18%	66.35%
t_{max} (nmol/L)	3.28	1.82	+1.25h (158.82%)	-0.5h to +3.0h (76.47% - 241.18%)	-	52.29%
$C \geq 50\%$	9.87	5.47	180.48%	108.31% - 300.76%	56.41%	51.65%
$C \geq 75\%$	2.52	2.71	92.90%	55.90% - 154.38%	56.07%	47.52%
$t_{1/2}$ (h)	25.14	14.90	168.81%	96.48% - 295.36%	62.70%	58.92%
MRT (h)	38.50	18.74	205.46%	132.35% - 318.96%	47.69%	58.38%
K_{el} (h^{-1})	0.0276	0.0465	59.24%	33.86% - 103.65%	62.70%	62.90%

Safety: Six mild adverse events were recorded in three volunteers. Three AEs were possibly or probably related to the study medication and of these 3 AEs, 1 probably and 1 possible was related to the reference MST Continus formulation and 1

possible AE was related to the test product.

The mean plasma curves from Study 2 are shown in Figure 16.

5   Example 15

In order to further improve the plasma profile obtained in Study 2, a geometric amendment was made to the shape of the formulation. The amendment aimed at a decrease in the initial release from the formulation as the in vitro absorption rate of morphine is very rapid in the stomach and upper intestinal tract. Accordingly, from the information from the

10   dissolution profile and the corresponding in vivo plasma concentration of the formulation observed in Study 2 it appeared desirable to decrease initial release and increase release by the end of the release period. This was obtained by tailoring the geometry by decreasing the longest diameter from 4.89 to 4.81 mm and increasing the smallest diameter from 3 to 3.5 mm. The length of the cone was kept on 3 mm, and the total length

15   of the formulation was kept on 9 mm. A matrix similar to the one of Batch 03-0005-066 was used.

Example 16

Clinical Study 3

20   Objective: To compare the pharmacokinetic profile of Morphine Sulphate Egalet® 30 mg in fasted and fed state with that of a reference product, MST Continus® 30 mg tablets, in healthy volunteers

Study design: A single-dose, randomised, two treatment, three-period cross–over

25   pharmacokinetic study in healthy male volunteers.

Test drug Morphine Sulphate Egalet® 30 mg controlled release formulation,

1 x 30 mg, Batch 03-0062-066.

Reference drug: MST Continus®· 30 mg· film coated tablet· 1 x 30 mg,

30

The results obtained are shown in the following tables

Study 3 Egalet (30 mg) fasted data for 0-36 hours: <u>nmol/L</u> <u>minutes</u>	<u>Concmax:</u> Mean 18.31125 Std Deviation 9.56966 Median 17.00000 Variance 91.57841 Geomean=exp(2.81505161)=16.69

Tmax: Mean 226.0417 Std Deviation 139.71228 Median 180.0000 Variance 19520	AUCM Mean 13792170 Std Deviation 5910802 Median 12418610 Variance 3.49376E13 Geomean=exp(16.3723464)=12894353
AUCT: Mean 15537.12 Std Deviation 6425 Geomean=exp(9.58681953)=14571	MRT Mean 888.1877 Std Deviation 77.52388 Median 888.9335 Variance 6010 Geomean=exp(6.78552683)= 885

Study 3 Egalet (30 mg) fed: data for 0-36 hours: nmol/L minutes	AUCt Mean 16197.57 Std Deviation 7188 Median 13545.78 Variance 51671134 Geomean=exp(9.61546345)=14994
Concmax Mean 17.89167 Std Deviation 7.52664 Median 15.60000 Variance 56.65036 Geomean=exp(2.80673198)= 16.6	MRT Mean 877.8806 Std Deviation 64.15725 Median 874.8590 Variance 4116 Geomean=exp(6.77499572)= 876
AUCM Mean 14279619.2 Sum Observations 342710860 Geomean=exp(16.3904592)= 13129865	Tmax Mean 335.2500 Std Deviation 236.42762 Median 300.0000

5

Study 3 MST Continus (30 mg): data for 0-36 hours: nmol/L minutes	AUCt Mean 15771.56 Std Deviation 5573 Median 13463.49 Variance 31058523 Geomean=exp(9.61720701)= 15021
Concmax Mean 33.44167 Std Deviation 12.94009 Median 30.70000 Variance 167.44601 Geomean=exp(3.4451475)= 31.3	Tmax Mean 130.0000 Std Deviation 52.08688 Median 120.0000 Variance 2713
AUMC Mean 9638437 Std Deviation 3586698 Median 8648532 Variance 1.28644E13	MRT Mean 615.6140 Std Deviation 71.48470 Median 630.5723 Variance 5110

Geomean=exp(16.0333294)= 9187000	Geomean=exp(6.41612235))= 611

Study 3 Treatment	Conc Max from curve* h=5	Conc, h=10 nmol/L	Conc, h=12 nmol/L	Conc, h=16 nmol/L	Conc, h=24 nmol/L	Mean Cmax, nmol/L	Tmax, h
A; Test fasted	14.43	6.48583	7.46125	6.60708	6.09333	18.31	3.8
A; % of mean Cmax		35%	41%	36%	33%		
A; % of curve Cmax		45%	52%	46%	42%		
B; Test fed	15.36	8.19958	8.92417	9.97750	5.50292	17.89167	5.6
B; % of mean Cmax		46%	50%	56%	31%		
B; % of curve Cmax		53%	58%	56%	36%		
C; Reference MST Continus		5.71375	5.08292	4.19208	3.54261	30.70000	2.2
C; % of mean Cmax		19%	17%	14%	12%		
* for treatment A and B has been performed based on mean individual Cmax as well as for Cmax from values of mean curve (Fig 17) for possible comparison with other treatments where mean Cmax is not available. It is noted that the values when compared with the curve Cmax is considerable higher than when compared with mean Cmax							

5

MP-EG-001: Total Morphine:

Summary of duration in minutes above 33% of Cmax

Egalet fasted

5

Mean	Median	Minimum	Lower Quartile	Upper Quartile	Maximum
1234.6 (20.6 h)	1143.3	361.0	742.9	1800.7	2131.8

10

Egalet fed

Mean	Median	Minimum	Lower Quartile	Upper Quartile	Maximum
1255.1 (20.9 h)	1274.1	365.8	851.0	1661.9	2094.8

15

MST Continus

20

Mean	Median	Minimum	Lower Quartile	Upper Quartile	Maximum
386.3 (6.4 h)	396.8	261.3	340.7	423.4	478.9

25

Summary of duration in minutes above 50% of Cmax

Egalet fasted

30

Mean	Median	Minimum	Lower Quartile	Upper Quartile	Maximum
551.4 (9.2 h)	434.8	106.0	315.0	681.1	2111.3

35 **Egalet fed**

Mean	Median	Minimum	Lower Quartile	Upper Quartile	Maximum
681.4 (11.3 h)	617.8	233.9	370.5	946.5	1233.7

40

MST Continus

Mean	Median	Minimum	Lower Quartile	Upper Quartile	Maximum
272.5 (4.5 h)	274.4	136.8	241.0	301.8	404.4

45

50 Summary of duration in minutes above 75% of Cmax

Egalet fasted

Mean	Median	Minimum	Lower Quartile	Upper Quartile	Maximum
219.8	159.0	47.3	92.2	227.6	1155.5

55

(3.6)

Egalet fed

Mean	Median	Minimum	Lower Quartile	Upper Quartile	Maximum
236.1 (3.9 h)	211.9	49.6	113.9	320.4	747.5

MST Continus

Mean	Median	Minimum	Lower Quartile	Upper Quartile	Maximum
164.7 (2.7 h)	174.8	64.4	109.9	212.6	282.3